

Reliability and Sensitivity of the Notio Device and Aer-oscale Service to Quantify Cyclists' Drag Coefficients in Outdoor Conditions

Antoine Bruez, Quentin Caillou, Thibault Dugenne, Thibaut Peron, S. Perrey, Thomas Lambolais

► To cite this version:

Antoine Bruez, Quentin Caillou, Thibault Dugenne, Thibaut Peron, S. Perrey, et al.. Reliability and Sensitivity of the Notio Device and Aer-oscale Service to Quantify Cyclists' Drag Coefficients in Outdoor Conditions. Science & Cycling 2023, Jun 2023, Bilbao, Spain. hal-04159139

HAL Id: hal-04159139 https://imt-mines-ales.hal.science/hal-04159139v1

Submitted on 29 Nov 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial - NoDerivatives 4.0 International License

Journal of Science & Cycling Breakthroughs in Cycling & Triathlon Sciences



Conference Abstract Reliability and Sensitivity of the Notio Device and Aeroscale Service to Quantify Cyclists' Drag Coefficients in Outdoor Conditions

Antoine Bruez², Quentin Caillou², Thibault Dugenne^{2,3}, Thibaut Peron², Stéphane Perrey¹ and Thomas Lambolais^{1,2}

- 1 EuroMov Digital Health in Motion, Univ Montpellier, IMT Mines Ales, forename.name@umontpellier.fr
- 2 IMT Mines Ales, 6 Avenue de Clavières 30319 ALES CEDEX
- 3 LIS (Laboratoire d'Informatique et Systèmes) Aix-Marseille University

* Correspondence: (TL) <u>Thomas.Lambolais@mines-ales.fr</u>

Received:11 March 2023; Accepted: 21 April 2023; Published: 23 October 2023

Abstract: Notio is a device based on a wind sensor which offers estimates of the C_{dA} (drag coefficient multiplied by the area) of the pair cyclist and bike. Notio is used with a specific analysis software, which computes C_{dA} estimates after a ride. The Aeroscale Company proposes a half-day service with their own wind sensor and experimental protocol, to also deliver estimates of the C_{dA} . In both cases, the main objective of a wind sensor is to give estimates in outdoor conditions. The aeroscale specificity is that all experiments are done without any power sensor, in freewheel. In our study, we experimented Notio device and software as well as Aeroscale Service through an incremental protocol with increasing disks, which led us to obtain sensitivity measure precisions of 4.8% for Notio and 0.5% for Aeroscale, with good reliabilities (ICC=0.98 for Notio and 0.93 for Aeroscale).

Keywords: Aerodynamic drag coefficient, outside experimental protocols, cycling mechanical power, cycling tires rolling resistance, real performance conditions, embedded sensors and software intensive devices

1. Introduction

At 50 km/h, on a flat road and no wind, more than 90 % of resistance forces against the cyclist and his/her bike come from aerodynamic resistance, characterized by the horizontal drag coefficient (*Cd*) multiplied by the frontal area (*A*). Devices aimed at estimating the *CdA* of a cyclist in outdoor environments have recently been marketed. The aim of our study was to measure the *reliability* and the *sensitivity* of two out of these devices and their associated experimental protocols: the Canadian Notio (Argon 18®), and the French Aeroscale.

2. Materials and Methods

By *reliability*, we mean the proximity of the results under very similar conditions, whereas *sensitivity* captures the ability to measure or not small variations of the experimental conditions.

Concerning Notio, we had two different Notio

devices (only one per bike), used with Garmin 1000 and 1030 bike computers equipped with speed, cadence and power meter sensor (Power2max crankset + Assioma uno pedals). Three different series of five runs were monitored. A run consisted of a round trip on the same segment, on a cycling road along a canal: 3 km long, flat, straight, regular surface, pure head wind or tail wind that speed is less than 10 km/h, no side trees, no houses or cars, no other person on the segment. Speed, cadence, and position should be as constant as possible (30 km/h, 85 rpm), as well as the total mass. Temperature, air-pressure, air speed, accelerations, were all measured by the Notio device. Notio results were analyzed via GC Notio, i.e. the free analysis platform Golden Cheetah with a specific add-on. We also computed the datas with our own algorithms (Notio GC algorithms are black boxes) to see if we had similar results. For this purpose, considered Martin's usual bike power we equations from which we can deduce CdA once we



© 2023 Bruez, A. licensee JSC. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License ((<u>http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/</u>) which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.



know wind speed and air density, having also an estimation of tires rolling resistance and transmission and bike yields.

The first series was carried out without any aerodynamic brake, the second one with disks of diameter 12 cm, and the third one with disks of diameter 15 cm. The disks were fixed at 45 cm from the left and right sides of the handlebars. This led us to perform more than 150 km of experiments to keep 90 km (3x5 final selected runs) to analyze. The experiments, both for Notio and Aeroscale, were performed by two cyclists (52 years old, 188cm, 78kg, regular cyclist, 23 years old, 172cm, 69kg, unregular cyclist) and two bikes, and all the experiments we used to compute reliability and sensitivity concern the same cyclist (the young one) and same bike.

Concerning Aeroscale, we performed experiments under the supervision of an expert of Aeroscale Company, for half a day. The only devices used were the Aeroscale ones, without any need for an external sensor (in particular no power meter): the Aeroscale device measured bicycle speed, air speed, temperature etc. Note that speed sensors communicating via ANT+ protocol are excluded, since communication delay is about 1 s via this protocol. Runs were on two segments of 300m and 400m long, for which we precisely knew declivity (precision better than 1cm for length and declivity). Like for Notio, we did round-trips, but the cyclist was only pedaling in freewheel, therefore at a low cadence (60 rpm). Speed was also obviously not constant. In addition, the outside temperature of all experiments must not vary by more than 5°C. Additionally, Aeroscale also offers a second experimental protocol (not described here) for estimating tires rolling resistance.

With Aeroscale also, we kept 3 series of 5 runs: without any disk, with 12 cm disks, and with 15 cm disks. The Aeroscale staff computed the results.

3. Results

Our experiments on both devices with associated protocols have a good reliability, defined here by the reproducibility: ICC = 0.98 for Notio and ICC = 0.92 for Aeroscale.

However, we measured a poor sensitivity of the Notio device. The theoretical increase of CdA

with large discs should be 0.037 m^2 . Notio found an increase of $0.058 \pm 0.009 \text{ m}^2$, *i.e.* a whole *CdA* difference between practical and theoretical of 4.8 %. Aeroscale found an increase of $0.035 \pm 0.003 \text{ m}^2$, *i.e.* a precision of 0.5 %. Concerning small discs, Aeroscale precision is also around 0.5 %.

Besides, Aeroscale measured tires rolling resistance of two pairs of tires at 0.31% and 0.45%, respectively for continental GP5000 in 25mm and Vittoria Rubino 23mm. These values are consistent with published ones (bicyclerollingresistance.com).

4. Discussion

Concerning Notio, the independent study [1] obtained a whole sensitivity of 1.2% with a good reliability. They follow a very similar protocol, but in an indoor velodrome, with elite riders at much higher speeds (50 km/h). We can also compare these indoor results with classical protocols without any wind sensor, whose sensitivity is also about 1%. We may wonder whether a wind sensor is useful indoor. Outdoor study [2] leads to a good reliability and a sensitivity of 4.2%.

We did not find other results with precisely these two devices. The Aeroscale Company is the only one who did precise experiments with their devices. Until now, Aeroscale had not done such a sensitivity analysis with growing disks.

All these results can be compared with indoor experimental results obtained by a method described in [3], which is a good method in indoor ideal conditions, but at the cost of 15 runs.

Concerning long outdoor rides, even if Notio officially claims to offer real-time results (with a Garmin data field), we state that: i) real-time displayed CdA is still utopic, since it always varies between 0.1 and 0.7 m², even on apparently constant conditions; ii) post analysis after a typical 50 km ride on various roads won't detect any CdA changes less than 15%, and reliability won't be better than 30%. We have to use such wind sensor devices, as Aeroscale does, in very controlled outdoor conditions. Without any power sensor, Aeroscale results are well better, but Aeroscale is not able to measure how a cyclist would deteriorate his CdA by pedaling hard, for example, since is makes all measures while coasting.

5. Practical Applications.

Pro Cyclists teams obviously look for all legal aerodynamic improvements and knowledge. Outside *CdA* estimations are attractive since they can be done on portions of athletes usual training roads and give results which are intrinsically more robust. For amateurs, it makes even more sense since no "Sunday-rider" will have the opportunity to do wind-tunnel sessions. It appears that even at lower speeds (*e.g.* 30 km/h), improving *CdA* leads to save many Watts. And "Sunday-riders" also look for comfort: such tests can only be done during long outside sessions.

Acknowledgments: We greatly thank Gilles Barouch, researcher in CEA Cadarach and Aix-Marseille University, who helped us supervising this study. We also thank 2020 students

on a preliminary study: Jules Warot, Thibault d'Heilly and Julien Bertrand. We also thank Notio and Aeroscale companies, who answered all our numerous questions.

References

- Kordi, M., Galis, G. E., Teun Terra, W. (2021). Reliability and Sensitivity of the Notio Konect to quantify Coefficient of Drag Area in Elite Track Cyclists. European Journal of Sport Science. 22. 1-15. 10.1080/17461391.2021.1891296.
- Pedro L. V., Yago Alcalde, J., Gil-Cabrera, E. Talavera, A., Lucia, D. Barranco-Gil (2020), Validity of a novel device for real-time analysis of cyclists' drag area, Journal of Science and Medicine in Sport, Volume 23, Issue 4, Pages 421-425.
- Bouillod, A., Pinot, J., Froncioni, A. and Grappe, F. (2015). Validity of Track Aero System to assess aerodynamic drag in professional cyclists. 10.13140/RG.2.1.4178.6326.
- Candau, R., Grappe, F., Ménard, M., Barbier B., Millet, G. Y., Hoffman, M. D., Belli, Allain R. and Rouillon, J. D. (1999), Simplified deceleration method for assessment of resistive forces in Cycling Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise. 31:p 1441, October