

# Valorization of uncontaminated dredged marine sediment through sand substitution in marine grade concrete

Mahmoud Hayek, Tara Soleimani, Marie Salgues, Jean-Claude Souche, Eric

Garcia-Diaz

# ► To cite this version:

Mahmoud Hayek, Tara Soleimani, Marie Salgues, Jean-Claude Souche, Eric Garcia-Diaz. Valorization of uncontaminated dredged marine sediment through sand substitution in marine grade concrete. European Journal of Environmental and Civil Engineering, 2023, 27 (13), pp.Pages 4008-4025. 10.1080/19648189.2023.2168765. hal-03951622

# HAL Id: hal-03951622 https://imt-mines-ales.hal.science/hal-03951622v1

Submitted on 27 Jan 2023  $\,$ 

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial - NoDerivatives 4.0 International License

- 1 Valorization of uncontaminated dredged marine sediment through sand substitution in
- 2 marine grade concrete
- Mahmoud Hayek<sup>a\*</sup>, Tara Soleimani<sup>b</sup>, Marie Salgues<sup>a</sup>, Jean Claude-Souche<sup>a</sup>, Eric Garcia Diaz<sup>a</sup>
- 5 <sup>a</sup> LMGC, Univ Montpellier, IMT Mines Ales, CNRS, Ales, France
- 6 <sup>b</sup> HSM, Univ Montpellier, IMT Mines Ales, CNRS, IRD, Ales, France
- 7 mahmoud.hayek@mines-ales.fr; https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3164-2247
- 8 <u>tara.soleimanij@gmail.com</u>; <u>https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6101-6530</u>
- 9 marie.salgues@mines-ales.fr; https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8801-6730
- 10 jean-claude.souche@mines-ales.fr; https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7923-9564
- 11 eric.garcia-diaz@mines-ales.fr; https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4059-9145
- 12 **Corresponding author:**
- 13 Mahmoud Hayek
- 14 mahmoud.hayek@mines-ales.fr
- 15 LMGC, IMT Mines Ales, 6 avenue de Clavières, 30319, Alès, Cedex, France

### 16 Highlights

- Untreated fine sediments can be used as a substitute for sand in XS2 concrete
- Untreated fine marine sediments have a negative effect on concrete properties
- Up to 10% of sediment content resulted in comparable concrete properties
- Up to 30% of sediment content can be used after optimizing the concrete mix design

#### 21 Abstract

Marine sediment disposal is an important economic and environmental issue worldwide. In order to minimize these discharges and optimize resources through a circular economy approach, this study discusses the potential use of fine marine sediments without treatments as a sand substitute in the marine concrete class (XS2, C30/37). The Dreux-Gorisse method was applied to find the concrete formulation containing 10, 20, 30, 40 and 50% sediment by weight. The results show that an XS2 concrete C30/37 with a water to binder ratio of 0.55 could be 28 designed with 10% of sediment replacement content without significantly affecting the concrete

29 properties. However, the optimization of concrete mix design shows that marine concrete (XS2,

30 C30/37) could be designed with 30% of sediment replacement content without significantly

31 affecting the potential durability and the estimated lifetime of the concrete structure.

Keywords: dredged marine sediments, valorization, sand substitution, marine concrete,
 concrete properties, potential durability, estimated lifetime

#### 34 **1. Introduction**

Concrete is the most widely used material in the world after water [1]. It is mainly composed of gravel, sand, binder and water [2]. Today, the large consumption of concrete is accompanied by rapid consumption of natural resources, and by a stricter requirement of environmental protocols. Therefore, making concrete in an environmentally friendly manner using alternative materials in the concrete manufacturing process becomes vitally important [3].

40 The production of one ton of Portland cement (CEM I) releases approximately one ton of carbon 41 dioxide  $(CO_2)$ . This energy-intensive production process involves significant resource 42 consumption [4]. Therefore, several studies were carried out to find substitute materials that can be used as a partial replacement for CEM I. Then, the main identified supplementary 43 44 cementitious materials (SCM) are ground granulated blast furnace slag (GGBS), fly ash, silica 45 fume, metakaolin, and more recently marine sediments (treated in most cases) [5–10]. In recent 46 years, the valorization of marine sediments as SCM in the production of concrete and self-47 compacting concrete has been widely studied [8,11–13]. For example, Safhi et al. showed that 48 the use of 10% of treated marine sediment (dredged from Dunkirk harbor) as SCM in self-49 compacting concrete has no significant effect on fresh properties (workability and flowability) 50 and the durability performance (chloride penetrability and external sulfate attack) of concrete 51 specimens [11,14].

52 In addition to cement, the concrete industry uses a large amount of sand. Sand is the fine 53 aggregate used in concrete manufacturing to ensure the granular continuity between the coarse 54 aggregate and the cement [15]. Sand is generally obtained by extraction from land quarries or 55 riverbeds. Because of the regulations and/or limited availability, the river deposit resources are 56 becoming rare and expensive in some areas. On the other hand, crushing rocks to obtain the 57 sandy fraction is difficult and requires high energy consumption [2]. In addition, sand is 58 becoming scarce in some regions of the earth, and in some cases, the sand should be transported 59 over long distances, which imposes negative economic and environmental impacts [16]. In contrast to the extensive SCM research, there is relatively limited experimental research on the
feasibility of replacing crushed sand (CS) with an alternative material in concrete production.
To date, two candidate materials have been mainly presented in the literature: dune sand and
dredged marine sediments (DMS).

Dune sand (desert sand) could serve as an abundant alternative sand source in many countries. It is available from many sources around the world. The dune sand is composed of fine particles with spherical shapes and a finesse modulus (FM) close to 1. Research experiments show that dune sand can be used as a partial substitute for crushed sand in properly designed concrete mixes, without adverse effects [17–19].

69 Unlike the dune sand, the use of DMS as a partial replacement of CS in concrete production 70 seems to be more complicated and difficult [20]. The rare research on the use of DMS as a 71 partial substitution of CS in concrete or mortar manufacturing has shown mixed results. 72 Elmoueden et al. studied the influence of partial substitution of sand by 15, 30 and 50% of 73 dredged sediments in the production of air foam concretes. They found that sand replacement 74 causes an increase in the compressive strength and density of concrete specimens [21]. Moradi 75 and Shahnoori studied the effects of replacing sand with 15, 25, 35, 50 and 100% of DMS 76 (dredged from the Persian Gulf) in the production of roller-compacted concrete. They found 77 that the replacement of sand by DMS at a percentage smaller than 25% increases the 78 compressive strength and decreases the water absorption and water permeability of concrete 79 specimens. Contrariwise, high DMS percentage (>35%) decreases the mechanical properties of 80 concrete specimens [22]. Ben Allal et al. showed that the use of 20% of raw sediments 81 (collected from the ports of Tangier and Larache, Morocco) as a sand replacement leads to a 82 30% decrease in the compressive strength of mortar specimens [23]. However, for the proper 83 use of DMS as a substitute for sand in concrete production, treatment is required [20]. In fact, 84 DMS is mainly composed of water, fine particles, organic pollutants (tributyltin, 85 polychlorinated biphenyls, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons...), inorganic pollutants (heavy 86 metals: mercury, cadmium, arsenic, chromium...) and salts [6,10,20,24]. It is shown that the 87 salts and the pollutants can affect the characteristics and the properties (cement hydration reaction, structural strength progress...) of concrete made with DMS [25]. 88

Accordingly, to benefit from the large volume of marine sediments dredged annually (300 million m<sup>3</sup> for the whole of Europe and 300 million m<sup>3</sup> in the USA) [7], further research and studies are needed on the partial replacement of CS with dominantly fine-grained DMS. To the best of our knowledge, no information regarding the use of DMS as a partial substitute for CS 93 in the manufacturing of marine concrete (XS2 environmental exposure conditions as defined in 94 European Standard NF EN 206-1) [26] has been published. Therefore, the objective of this 95 present study is to identify the possibility of using fine-grained dominated DMS as a CS 96 substitute in marine concrete and to optimize the formulation, without engaging in polluting 97 and expensive treatment processes. The long-term objective is to promote a circular economy 98 approach by using fine untreated marine sediment (landfilled in most cases) as a partial 99 replacement for sand in the production of concrete materials.

#### 100 2. Materials and Methods

# 101 2.1. Experimental plan

In order to achieve the aims of this study, the procedure was planned in four main stages. 1) Physio-chemical characterization of DMS 2) Preparation of concrete specimens (water to binder ratio of 0.55) with 10, 20, 30, 40 and 50% of DMS as CS substitution, 3) Selection of substitution percentage, 4) optimization of the concrete formulation (Table 7).

- 106The targeted concrete is a marine concrete (XS2) with a minimum compressive strength of 38107MPa (at 28 days, C30/37) and a slump of 190 mm  $\pm$  25 mm which gives a plastic concrete of
- 108 class S4. According to NF EN 206-1, this concrete must be manufactured with water to binder
- 109 ratio (w/b) of a maximum of 0.55.
- 110 In this paper, the Dreux-Gorisse method was applied to find the concrete formulation [27] and
- 111 the obtained concrete was evaluated based on its workability, water porosity (water penetration
- 112 under pressure), water absorption and compressive strength.
- 113 The substitution percentage selected in stage 3 is the highest percentage which:
- Requires no more than 2% of superplasticizer to achieve 180 mm ± 25 mm with slump
   test
- Gives a mechanical compressive resistance greater than 38 MPa at 28 days
- Does not significantly affect the water porosity (chosen as an indicator to assess the
   general quality of concrete)

The optimization of the concrete mix design was performed by adjusting the followingparameters (Table 7):

The quantity of mixing water (specimens with a w/b ratio of 0.55 and with an excess
 water of 10 L/m<sup>3</sup> and 20 L/m<sup>3</sup>)

- The superplasticizer (SP2)
- The granular arrangement, by using a gravel 4/6.3 (g 4/6.3)
- The water to binder ratio (w/b = 0.50, w/b = 0.45)

# 126 **2.2. Physio-chemical characterization of DMS**

127 The DMS used in this study was obtained from Port-Camargue (Grau du Roi, France). The 128 geographic locations of the dredging operation are shown in Figure 1. The dredged sediments 129 from all the locations were mixed. The expected fraction of sediment was separated based on 130 particle weight using hydrocyclone and dewatered by geotubes. Then, the collected samples 131 from the geotubes were kept in hermetically sealed plastic bags and stored in the laboratory at 132 20°C until use. This sediment was not contaminated by heavy metals, polycyclic aromatic 133 hydrocarbons, and Polychlorinated biphenyls (data not shown).



- 135 Figure 1. Geographic locations of dredging operation at port-Camargue (France) [28].
- 136 The particle size distribution of the DMS samples was determined using laser diffraction
- 137 particle size analyzer (Beckman Coulter S13320) (Figure 2). The results show that the DMS
- 138 has a mean and median diameter of 117.5  $\mu m$  and 94.4  $\mu m$  respectively. According to ISO
- 139 13320, this DMS contains 2.2% clay fraction (d < 2  $\mu$ m), 36.3% fine fraction (2  $\mu$ m < d < 63
- 140  $\mu$ m), 48.7% fine sand (63  $\mu$  m< d < 200  $\mu$ m) and 12.8% sand (200  $\mu$ m < d < 2000  $\mu$ m).



142<br/>143Figure 2. Particle size distribution of DMS used in this study. The results were obtained using laser particle size analysis<br/>according to ISO 13320.

144 The physical, mineralogical, and chemical characteristics of DMS used in this study are

summarized in table 1. All experiments were performed in triplicate.

| 146 | Table 1. | Physical a | and mi | neralogical | characteristics | of DMS | used in | this study. |
|-----|----------|------------|--------|-------------|-----------------|--------|---------|-------------|
|-----|----------|------------|--------|-------------|-----------------|--------|---------|-------------|

| Material characteristic                                   | Standard used   | Measuring tool   | DMS  |
|---|-----------------|--|--|
| Water a start   | NE DO4 050      |  |  |
| water content   | NF P94-050      | Oven at 105°C  | $1/./\pm 5.6$  |
| pH  |                 | pH meter   | $8.67 \pm 0.13$  |
| Density (g/cm <sup>3</sup> )                              | NF EN 1097-7    | Micrometrics<br>AccuPyc 1330<br>helium pycnometer            | $2.66\pm0.01$  |
| Specific surface area (cm <sup>2</sup> /g)                | NF EN ISO 18757 | Multipoint BET<br>(Brunauer-Emmett-<br>Teller) N2 adsorption | 3.14 ± 0.82  |
| Total organic carbon (%)                                  | NF EN 13137     | TOC-meter (Vario<br>TOC cube)                                | $2.69\pm0.26$  |
| Blue methylene value (g/100g)                             | NF P94-068      | Methylene blue solution                                      | $0.81 \pm 0.45$  |
| Calcite (%)   |                 | Thermogravimetric analysis                                   | $10.8\pm0.52$  |
| Major mineral elements<br>(X-ray diffraction<br>analysis) |                 | D8 Advance<br>diffractometer<br>(Bruker)                     | Calcite (CaCO <sub>3</sub> ),<br>Quartz (SiO <sub>2</sub> ) and<br>Anhydrite<br>(CaSO <sub>4</sub> ) |
| Morphology  |                 | Scanning electron<br>microscopy (SEM)                        | Angular shape  |

|     |           |                    | 52.85 SiO <sub>2</sub>              |
|-----|-----------|--------------------|-------------------------------------|
|     |           |                    | 9.56 Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> |
|     |           |                    | 3.15 Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> |
|     |           |                    | 0.37 TiO <sub>2</sub>               |
|     |           |                    | 3.30 K <sub>2</sub> O               |
|     |           |                    | 1.48 Na <sub>2</sub> O              |
|     | DIN 51001 | V roy fluorescence | 13.25 CaO                           |
|     | DIN 31001 | X-ray nuorescence  | 1.40 MgO                            |
| (%) |           |                    | 0.00 PbO                            |
|     |           |                    | 0.03 BaO                            |
|     |           |                    | 0.92 SO <sub>3</sub>                |
|     |           |                    | 0.07 MnO                            |
|     |           |                    | 0.10 P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub>  |
|     |           |                    | 0.01 ZrO <sub>2</sub>               |
|     |           | Ion chromatography | 0.67 Cl <sup>-</sup>                |

#### 148 **2.3. Materials used in the preparation of concrete specimens**

#### 149 <u>2.3.1. Blended cement</u>

In order to reduce the carbon footprint of concrete and to improve its durability in the marine environment [29,30], the blended cement used in this study is composed of 70% Portland cement 52.5 N and 30% Ground Granulated Blast-furnace Slag (Ecocem, France). It has been shown that the use of GGBS increases the durability of concrete against external aggression, including chloride penetration [31]. As specified in its technical data sheet, the reactivity index of this GGBS is 0.9. Its chemical composition is mentioned in table 2.

156 Table 2. Chemical composition (%) of Ground Granulated Blast-furnace Slag used in this study.

| SiO <sub>2</sub> | $Al_2O_3$ | Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> | CaO  | MgO | TiO <sub>2</sub> | SO <sub>3</sub> | Cl-  | <b>S</b> <sup>2-</sup> | Na <sub>2</sub> O | K <sub>2</sub> O |
|------------------|-----------|--------------------------------|------|-----|------------------|-----------------|------|------------------------|-------------------|------------------|
| 35.1             | 11.1      | 0.4                            | 42.1 | 7.0 | 0.8              | 0.1             | 0.03 | 0.6                    | 0.21              | 0.43             |

The cement used in this study, Portland cement 52,5 N - SR 5 CE PM-CP2 NF HTS
(DURABAT<sup>®</sup> X-TREM) is specially formulated to resist sulfate and seawater (chloride) as
stipulated in the technical data sheet. The characteristics of this cement are summarized in table
3.

161 Table 3; Characteristics of Portland cement 52,5 N used in this study. Min: Minute.

| MgO  | C3S | C2S | C3A | C4AF | Secondary constituents | Setting<br>start<br>time | Average of<br>compressive<br>strength at<br>28 days | True<br>density       |
|------|-----|-----|-----|------|------------------------|--------------------------|---|-----------------------|
| 0.7% | 66% | 19% | 4%  | 7%   | 3%                     | 180 min                  | 67 MPa  | $3.18 \text{ g/cm}^3$ |

#### 163 <u>2.3.2. Gravel</u>

In this study, two types of crushed limestone were used, supplied by Languedoc Roussillon Matériaux (LRM). The grain size distributions of these gravel are shown in Figure 3. Gravel with size ranged from 6.3 to 14 mm (G) was used as coarse aggregate in all concrete specimens while gravel with size ranged from 4 to 6.3 mm (g) was used only during the third stage of the experimental plan (concrete formulation optimization). The characteristics of these two types of gravel are presented in Table 4.

170 Table 4. Characteristics of gravel used in this study.

| Туре          | True density<br>(Mg/m <sup>3</sup> ) | Water absorption (%) | Acid soluble<br>sulfate (%) | Chloride (%) |
|---------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|--------------|
| Gravel 4-6.3  | 2.66                                 | 0.9                  | 0.011                       | < 0.001      |
| Gravel 6.3-14 | 2.63                                 | 0.7                  | 0.05                        | < 0.001      |

171

# 172 <u>2.3.3. Adjuvant and Superplasticizer</u>

Two types of commercial superplasticizers (SP1 and SP2) were used in this study (Table 1).
SP1 as the main SP applied in this study, is a new generation of superplasticizers based on a new technology of polymer synthesis. According to its technical data sheet, this SP maintains the workability of concretes for a long time without delaying the compressive strength development.

178 SP2 has been used to optimize the concrete formulation. It is recommended when CEM III is

179 used in concrete manufacturing. This SP is compliant with concrete intended for contact with

180 potable water (18 CLP LY 036).

<sup>181</sup> Table 5. Properties of superplasticizers and adjuvant used in this study.

|                    | Chemical composition | Density (g/cm <sup>3</sup> ) | Solid content (% wt) |
|--------------------|----------------------|------------------------------|----------------------|
| Superplasticizer 1 | Polycarboxylate      | 1.10                         | 35.00                |
| Superplasticizer 2 | Polycarboxylate      | 1.07                         | 31.00                |

182

#### 183 <u>2.3.4. Sand and sand-DMS mixes</u>

184 The sand used in this study is calcareous crushed sand with sizes ranging from 0 to 4 mm

185 (LRM). The sand-DMS mixes were obtained as follows:

186 1- Ambient Air drying of DMS for 48 hours: The water content decreases from 17.7 to 8%
approximately

- 188 2- Mixing of DMS and CS according to the mass percentage (10, 20, 30, 40 or 50%) using a
- 189 laboratory mortar mixer
- 190 3- The CS-DMS mixtures were kept in hermetically sealed plastic bags at 20°C until use
- 191 The grain size distribution of CS and CS-DMS mixtures used in this study are illustrated in
- 192 Figure 3 and their fineness modulus (FM) (according to ASTM C33), true density and water
- absorption (WA) ratio (according to NF EN 1097-6) are given in Table 6.
- 194Table 6. Fineness modulus, true density, and water absorption ratio of CS and CS-DMS mixtures used in this study. CS:195Crushed sand. DMS: Dredged marine sediment. FM: Fineness modulus

|              | FM   | True density (Mg/m <sup>3</sup> ) | Water absorption (%) |
|--------------|------|-----------------------------------|----------------------|
| CS           | 3.23 | 2.62                              | 1.40                 |
| CS + DMS 10% | 2.78 | 2.55                              | 2.40                 |
| CS + DMS 20% | 2.37 | 2.47                              | 3.20                 |
| CS + DMS 30% | 2.18 | 2.39                              | 4.10                 |
| CS + DMS 40% | 1.95 | 2.32                              | 5.30                 |
| CS + DMS 50% | 1.70 | 2.25                              | 6.90                 |



197



# 199 2.4. Concrete mix design and specimen's preparation

In this study, the Dreux-Gorisse method was applied to find the concrete formulation [27].
Table 7 presents an overview of the investigated specimens, their composition, and their
formulation properties.

- 203 Sample preparation was performed as follows. The solid contents, i.e., gravel, sand, binder and
- 204 DMS are first introduced and mixed for 60 seconds (s). Then, water is progressively added.
- After 90 s, the superplasticizer is poured, and the constituents are mixed for 90 s.
- 206 After mixing, cylindrical specimens were cast in cylindrical cardboard molds of 110 mm
- diameter and 220 mm height and covered with a plastic lid. After 24 hours of hardening, the
- 208 concrete specimens were removed from the molds and placed in water at 20°C for 28 days.

| Concrete ID         | 0%     | 10%   | 20%   | 30%   | 40%   | 50%   | 30%<br>+<br>10L | 30%<br>+<br>20L | 30%<br>+<br>SP2 | 0% +<br>g | 30%<br>+ g | 0%<br>wb=0.5 | 30%<br>wb=0.5 | 0%<br>wb=0.45 | 30%<br>wb=0.45 |
|---------------------|--------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------|------------|--------------|---------------|---------------|----------------|
| Gravel 6.3/14       | 785.5  | 835.5 | 882.0 | 901.5 | 927.5 | 951.0 | 901.5           | 901.5           | 901.5           | 570.6     | 570.6      | 584.1        | 584.1         | 597.6         | 597.6          |
| Gravel 4/6.3        | 0.0    | 0.0   | 0.0   | 0.0   | 0.0   | 0.0   | 0.0             | 0.0             | 0.0             | 292.7     | 312.6      | 299.6        | 319.9         | 306.5         | 327.3          |
| CS                  | 1059.5 | 894.2 | 736.8 | 614.6 | 503.4 | 400.0 | 614.6           | 614.6           | 614.6           | 1004.0    | 645.3      | 1027.8       | 660.5         | 1051.5        | 675.8          |
| DMS                 | 0.0    | 99.35 | 184.2 | 263.4 | 335.6 | 400.0 | 263.4           | 263.4           | 263.4           | 0.0       | 276.6      | 0.0          | 283.1         | 0.0           | 289.6          |
| CEM1 52,5           | 238.0  | 238.0 | 238.0 | 238.0 | 238.0 | 238.0 | 238.0           | 238.0           | 238.0           | 238.0     | 238.0      | 238.0        | 238.0         | 238.0         | 238.0          |
| GGBS                | 102.0  | 102.0 | 102.0 | 102.0 | 102.0 | 102.0 | 102.0           | 102.0           | 102.0           | 102.0     | 102.0      | 102.0        | 102.0         | 102.0         | 102.0          |
| Effective w         | 181.5  | 181.5 | 181.5 | 181.5 | 181.5 | 181.5 | 181.5           | 181.5           | 181.5           | 181.5     | 181.5      | 165.0        | 165.0         | 148.5         | 148.5          |
| w/b ratio           | 0.55   | 0.55  | 0.55  | 0.55  | 0.55  | 0.55  | 0.55            | 0.55            | 0.55            | 0.55      | 0.55       | 0.50         | 0.50          | 0.45          | 0.45           |
| WC G (%)            | 0.0    | 0.0   | 0.0   | 0.0   | 0.0   | 0.0   | 0.0             | 0.0             | 0.0             | 0.0       | 0.0        | 0.0          | 0.0           | 0.0           | 0.0            |
| WC g (%)            | 0.0    | 0.0   | 0.0   | 0.0   | 0.0   | 0.0   | 0.0             | 0.0             | 0.0             | 0.0       | 0.0        | 0.0          | 0.0           | 0.0           | 0.0            |
| WC CS (%)           | 0.0    | -     | -     | -     | -     | -     | -               | -               | -               | 0.0       | -          | 0.0          | -             | 0.0           | -              |
| WC<br>CS+DMS<br>(%) | -      | 0.3   | 1.3   | 1.8   | 2.9   | 3.8   | 1.0             | 1.0             | 1.0             | -         | 2.4        | -            | 2.4           | -             | 3.2            |
| Mixing w            | 202.0  | 208.5 | 205.5 | 208.0 | 207.5 | 212.5 | 224.5           | 234.5           | 214.5           | 202.2     | 204.1      | 186.2        | 188.0         | 170.2         | 164.3          |
| SP1 (%)             | 0.1    | 0.2   | 0.5   | 1.2   | 1.6   | 2.0   | 1.2             | 1.0             | -               | 0.1       | 1.0        | 0.2          | 1.7           | 0.5           | 2.8            |
| SP2 (%)             | -      | -     | -     | -     | -     | -     | -               | -               | 1.1             | -         | -          | -            | -             | -             | -              |
| Paste<br>volume (L) | 307.3  | 321.6 | 337.2 | 347.6 | 359.1 | 373.6 | 347.6           | 347.6           | 347.6           | 306.1     | 347.9      | 289.8        | 332.5         | 273.4         | 317.1          |
| Gravel/Sand         | 0.72   | 0.81  | 0.89  | 0.93  | 0.99  | 1.04  | 0.93            | 0.93            | 0.93            | 0.85      | 0.88       | 0.85         | 0.88          | 0.85          | 0.88           |

209 Table 7. Formulation properties and mixes design  $(Kg/m^3)$  of concrete specimens used in this study.

210 CS: crushed sand, DMS: Dredged marine sediment, GGBS: Ground Granulated Blast-furnace Slag, w: water, wb ratio: water to binder ratio

211 WC: water content, G: gravel 6.3/14, g: gravel 4/6.3, SP: superplasticizer, Mixing water = Effective water + WA of aggregates

#### 212 **2.5. Methods**

#### 213 <u>2.5.1. Slump test</u>

In order to analyze the effect of CS substitution by DMS on the properties of fresh concrete,

the slump test was used after mixing concrete according to NF EN 12350-2 [32]. The targeted

slump test after the addition of SP was 190 mm  $\pm$  25 mm which gives a plastic concrete of class

217 S4. Then, the effect of DMS on the concrete workability was evaluated by the amount of SP

added to achieve this targeted slump.

# 219 <u>2.5.2. Mechanical compressive strength</u>

220 The compressive strength tests (MPa) were carried out following NF EN 12390–3 standards

[33]. A total of 3 specimens of each concrete type were tested at 28 days using compression
testing machine (3R, France). The axial loading rate on each sample was 0.5 MPa/second.

#### 223 2.5.3. Water porosity and water absorption

Water porosity and water absorption experiments were carried out at 28 days, following NF P18-459 [34] and NF EN 13369 [35] standards, respectively. Three samples (110 mm diameter and 50 mm height) of each concrete preparation were soaked in water for 48 h under pressure (vacuum saturation) and at atmospheric pressure (Water Absorption by Immersion, WAI) in the case of water porosity and water absorption respectively. The wet mass was measured after drying off each sample surface. For the dry mass, the samples were dried in an oven at 105°C to attain a constant weight with a tolerance of <0.05% of each sample weight.

#### 231 <u>2.5.3. Statistical analysis</u>

To evaluate the significant effect of DMS on the concrete properties, statistical analysis was
performed using one-way ANOVA tests on GraphPad Prism 5 (GraphPad Software, San Diego,
CA, USA).

#### 235 **3. Results and discussion**

#### 236 **3.1. Effect of DMS on concrete workability**

Workability is one of the most important characteristics of fresh concrete. It is the main property that indicates the ability of concrete to be reshaped, moved and consolidated [36]. Figure 4 shows that the workability of concrete decreases with increasing DMS content. Consequently, the quantity of SP (% by binder weight) added to attain the targeted slump (190 mm  $\pm$  25 mm) increases when CS substitution by DMS increases. The SP requirement was 0.1% and 2.0%
when the CS substitution by DMS was 0% and 50% respectively. These results are consistent
with the study of Limeira et al [37]. In their study, Limeira *et al.* used additional plasticizer
content when the sand was replaced by DMS in concrete mixes.

According to studies on concrete rheology, concrete workability is affected by many factors: (i) the amount and the type of binder, (ii) the Physico-chemical properties and the amount of fine and coarse aggregates, (iii) the amount and the type of chemical admixtures, (IV) water and the time and method of mixing ... [38,39]. In this present study, the reduced workability of the concrete made with DMS can be justified by:

- The angular shape and the rough surface of the DMS (Figure 5) [40]; According to
   [36], the angular fine aggregate particles interlock and reduce the freedom of
   movement of particles in the fresh concrete.
- 2. The number of fine particles (38.5%), the high specific surface area (3.14 cm²/g, Table
  1) and water absorption ratio of DMS and CS-DMS mixtures (Table 6) [41];
  According to [42], the mixing water in concrete preparation exists in the forms of free
  layer water, adsorbed layer water and filling water. Among these forms of water, only
  the free layer of water contributes to concrete workability by separating solid particles.
  However, the amount of adsorbed layer water increases with the increase in surface
  area and the percentage of fine particles [3].





266



267 Figure 5. Scanning electron microscopy images of dredged marine sediment used in this study.

# 268 **3.2. Effect of DMS on water porosity**

269 In the marine environment, the water porosity of concrete is one of its most important 270 characteristics, which strongly affects its mechanical behavior and service life [43]. Generally, 271 an increase in concrete porosity leads to a reduction in compressive strength [44]. However, 272 concrete porosity plays an important role with regard to the primary durability issues in 273 seawater (external aggression, including chloride penetration) [45]. The effect of DMS on the 274 water porosity of concrete specimens is shown in Figure 4. The results show that the water 275 porosity increases with the increase of DMS content. The water porosity increases non-276 significantly from 14.6% to 17.2% when the substitution rate was 0% and 30% respectively. 277 Then, the increase in water porosity becomes significant and reaches a value of 19.3% at a 278 substitution rate of 50%. These results are in keeping with the study of [46] which showed that 279 the porosity increases when the rate of sediment substitution increases. In this study, the 280 increase in water porosity could be justified by the increase in the paste volume of concrete 281 made with DMS (Table 7) and by the increase in the water absorption ratio of CS-DMS 282 mixtures with an increasing substitution rate (Table 6).

#### **3.3. Effect of DMS on mechanical resistance**

The effect of DMS on the compressive strength of concrete is shown in Figure 4. The results indicate that the strength of concrete decreases with the increase in the proportion of DMS. At 10% of DMS content, the decrease in compressive strength (48.1 MPa) is not significant compared to the control (0%, 49.2 MPa). Contrariwise, using a substitution rate higher than 288 10% significantly affects the mechanical resistance of concrete specimens ( $P_{value} < 0.001$ ). 289 These results are in line with the study of Moradi and Shahnoori [22], in which the compressive 290 strength of concrete specimens was negatively affected by the use of DMS content higher than 291 15%. However, based on the results of compressive strength analysis in this study, 292 incorporating DMS as CS substitute in marine concrete at a rate lower than 50% is feasible, 293 while retaining 38 MPa as the minimum compressive strength at 28 days.

294 The compressive strength of concrete is influenced by many factors including porosity, grain 295 size distribution of aggregates, maximum size of aggregates, water to binder ratio, surface 296 texture and strength [47]. As noted by Beddaa et al., 2020, the fine particles of sediments affect 297 the properties of cement paste: because of to their specific surface area and water demand, fines 298 and clay reduce workability and could also affect strength and durability. In this present study, 299 the decrease in mechanical resistance may be attributed to (i) the effect of DMS on the pore's 300 distribution and on the water porosity of concrete specimens (ii) the distribution (by DMS) of 301 the organized granular arrangement formed by the conventional aggregates [48] (iii) the 302 increase of the percentage of fine particles ( $<63 \mu m$ ) in CS-DMS mixtures (Figure 3) and (IV) 303 the chemical composition of DMS (i.e. salt content) (Table 1) [49,50].

# **304 3.4. Selection of substitution percentage**

305 According to the slump, water porosity and compressive strength tests, a XS2 concrete C30/37 306 could be designed with 10% of sand replaced by DMS without significantly affecting the 307 concrete properties. Therefore, DMS can be used as sand replacement in marine concrete 308 manufacturing. However, a substitution rate of 30% is the highest DMS percentage that (i) 309 required no more than 2% of SP to achieve the targeted slump, (ii) allowed a compressive 310 strength higher than 38 MPa (38.5 MPa) and (iii) did not significantly affect the water porosity 311 of concrete. Accordingly, the substitution rate of 30% was selected to optimize the concrete 312 mix design using DMS as sand replacement. The objective was to minimize as much as possible 313 the differences in the properties of the reference concrete and the concrete made with DMS.

#### 314 **3.5. Optimization of concrete mix design**

The quantity of mixing water (+ 10 L/m<sup>3</sup>, + 20 L/m<sup>3</sup>), the superplasticizer (SP2), the granular arrangement and the water to binder ratio (w/b = 0.50, w/b = 0.45) are the parameters used to optimize the concrete mix design made with 30% of sand substitution by DMS. 318 The results of superplasticizer quantity, compressive strength at 28 days, water porosity and 319 water absorption of the optimized concrete specimens are shown in Figure 6.

# 320 <u>3.5.1. The quantity of mixing water</u>

321 The quantity of mixing water is the first parameter to be optimized when the objective is to 322 improve the durability of concrete. In this study we have used an excess quantity of water (+ 323 10 L/m<sup>3</sup>, + 20 L/m<sup>3</sup>) during the preparation of concrete made with CS-DMS to verify the 324 calculation accuracy of water quantity added to concrete mixes according to the water 325 absorption ration of CS-DMS (4.1%, Table 6). If the water absorption ratio of the CS-DMS is 326 greater than the calculated ratio, the use of excess water does not influence the properties of 327 hardened concrete. As shown in Figure 6, the compressive strength and the porosity of concrete 328 specimens decrease and increase respectively with the increase of excess water. These results 329 are in agreement with the technical sheet of Holcim Group [51]; "each additional 10 liters of 330 water per cubic meter will reduce the strength of concrete by about 2.5 MPa". These results 331 confirm the accuracy of water mixing quantity used in the preparation of concrete specimens.

## 332 <u>3.5.2. The superplasticizer</u>

333 The fresh and hardened characteristics of concrete can be modified and controlled with 334 superplasticizers [52]. However, the compatibility between the superplasticizer chosen and the 335 cement used is a very important factor in concrete manufacturing. In the case of incorporation 336 of mineral additions to Portland cement, several studies have been carried out to test the 337 compatibility between superplasticizer and blended cement. The findings of these studies show 338 that the effectiveness of superplasticizer is influenced by several factors such as the 339 superplasticizer family, the mechanisms involved in superplasticizer action (electrostatic 340 mechanism, steric obstacle...), the presence and the quantity of Ca(OH)<sub>2</sub> and the physio-341 chemical properties of Portland cement and minerals additives [53-56]. To the best of our 342 knowledge, no information regarding the effectiveness of superplasticizer has been published 343 when a DMS was used in concrete preparation. Therefore, in this study, a superplasticizer 344 named SP2 was tested during the optimization of concrete mix design. Although SP2 belongs 345 to the same family of SP1 (Polycarboxylate), the results show that SP2 (i) more effectively 346 improves the concrete workability (Figure 6 A), (ii) improves the mechanical strength and the 347 water porosity (compared to SP1) (Figure 6 B and C), (iii) gives the same water absorption 348 compared to the control (5.9%) (Figure 6 D). Knowing the reasons of these differences will be 349 the subject of a future study in our laboratory.

#### 350 <u>3.5.3. The granular arrangement</u>

351 According to the literature, the aggregate used in concrete preparation affects the properties of 352 fresh and hardened concrete [57]. Therefore, a gravel with size ranged from 4 to 6.3 mm was 353 used in the optimization process to ensure the correct grain size distribution between the sand 354 (0/4 mm) and the coarse gravel (6.3/14 mm). The results show that the use of gravel 4/6.3 mm355 improves the workability of concrete specimens in such a way that a smaller amount of SP was 356 required to attain the targeted slump (190 mm  $\pm$  25 mm); the amount of SP added to attain the 357 targeted slump is 1.2% and 1% (by cement weight) for the concrete without and with gravel 358 4/6.3 mm respectively. However, when the gravel 4/6.3 was used, (i) the compressive strength 359 decreased slightly (2 and 0.2 MPa in the case of control concrete and concrete made with 30% 360 DMS respectively), (ii) the water porosity increased slightly (0.8 and 0.7% in the case of control 361 concrete and concrete made with 30% DMS respectively) and (iii) the water absorption 362 increased slightly (0.5 and 0.8% in the case of control concrete and concrete made with 30% 363 DMS respectively). Accordingly, the use of an intermediate gravel improves the fresh 364 properties (the workability) and does not affect the hardened properties of concrete specimens. 365 The slight differences observed at the hardened stat can be attributed to the characteristic 366 differences between the gravel 4/6.3 and 6.3/14 (Table 4). Therefore, the intermediate gravel 367 4/6.3 was used in the concrete mix designs with w/b ratio of 0.50 and 0.45.

# 368 <u>3.5.4. The water to binder ratio</u>

369 In order to improve the durability of concrete, concrete specimens with a water to binder ratio 370 of 0.50 and 0.45 were prepared. According to AFGC (French Association of Civil Engineering) 371 the durability and the lifetime of marine concrete can be estimated according to the water 372 porosity value and other durability indicators such as the permeability and the chloride diffusion 373 coefficient [58]. Table 8 shows the potential durability and the estimated lifetime of marine 374 concrete based on the porosity value. Therefore, according to AFGC, the concrete specimens 375 prepared with a water to binder ratio of 0.55 (w/b =0.55, SP2, and +g 4/6.3) have a very low 376 and a low potential durability in the case of control concrete (0%) and concrete made with 30% 377 DMS respectively (Figure 6 C).

378 *Table 8. Potential durability and estimated lifetime of concrete structure according to the water porosity value (AFGC, 2004).* 

| Water Porosity value (%)   | 16-15    | 15-14 | 14-12  | 12-9    | <9           |
|----------------------------|----------|-------|--------|---------|--------------|
| Potential durability       | Very low | low   | Medium | High    | Very<br>high |
| Estimated lifetime (years) | < 30     | 30-50 | 50-100 | 100-120 | >120         |

However, according to NF EN 206 [26], the water absorption value of marine concrete (XS2) must not be exceed 6%. Consequently, the water absorption ration of concrete specimens prepared with a water to binder ratio of 0.55 (w/b =0.55, SP2, and +g 4/6.3) does not meet the standard requirements (NF EN 206) (Figure 6 D).

Therefore, reducing the w/b ratio is necessary to improve the potential durability and the estimated lifetime of concrete made with DMS. As expected, the results presented in Figure 6 show that the decrease of the w/b ratio has the following consequences:

- The quantity of SP (% by binder weight) required to attain the targeted slump (190 mm ± 25 mm) increases. In the case of w/b ratio of 0.45, the quantity of SP added is greater than 2% for the concrete made with DMS.
- The compressive strength increases
- The water porosity and water absorption decrease. The water absorption ratio is less
  than 6%.
- 393 However, according to the water porosity, the concrete specimens made with DMS (30% as 394 sand substitution) have the same potential durability (medium and high for w/b ratio of 0.50 395 and 0.45 respectively) and the same estimated lifetime (between 50-100 years and between 100-396 120 years for w/b ratio of 0.50 and 0.45 respectively) as the concrete specimens made with CS. 397 Thus, XS2 concrete C30/37 could be designed with 30% of sand replaced by DMS (which have 398 the same physio-chemical properties of the DMS presented in this study) without significantly 399 affecting the potential durability and the estimated lifetime of the concrete structure. 400 Accordingly, in order to use the maximum rate of sand substitution by DMS in marine concrete 401 without using an excessive amount of SP, the concrete specimens should be prepared with an 402 intermediate gravel and a water to binder ratio of 0.5.
- 403
- 404





409

410 Figure 6. Superplasticizer, Compressive strength at 28 days, water porosity and water absorption of concrete specimens 411 prepared during the optimization of concrete mix design. All experiments were performed in triplicate and the error bars 412 413 represent the standard deviation of the obtained values. The experiments highlighted with asterisks differed significantly from *the control (Bonferroni; \*: p < 0.1, \*\*\*: p < 0.001)* 

#### 415 **4.** Conclusions

416 With the large volume of marine sediments dredged annually and the ever-increasing demand 417 for construction materials, the development of a new type of construction materials by using the dredged sediments would offer a global opportunity in terms of economy and environment. 418 419 In this study, the effects of untreated marine sediments used as crushed sand replacement on 420 the workability, compressive strength, water porosity and water absorption of concrete 421 specimens were investigated. The following conclusions can be inferred from the results of this 422 experimental study:

- The partial substitution of crushed sand with dredged marine sediment (dominated by
  fine-grained sediment) affects the fresh properties of the concrete. The workability of
  concrete decreases with the increase of the substitution rate.
- 426426427427427428428429429429429420<l
- 428 3. The water porosity of concrete decreases significantly when the substitution rate429 exceeds 30%.
- 4. The quantity of mixing water, the chosen superplasticizer, the granular arrangement,
  and the water to binder ratio are conditions that should be optimized in order to produce
  a suitable concrete made with dredged marine sediment.
- 433 5. Based on the results of concrete mix design optimization, a marine concrete XS2,
  434 C30/37 could be designed with 30% of sand replacement by dredged marine sediment
  435 (which have the same physio-chemical properties of the DMS presented in this study)
  436 without significant impact on the potential durability and the estimated lifetime of
  437 concrete structure.
- This paper presents a part of results of the ECODREGE MED II project. Based on the results
  obtained in this part of project, the designed and optimized concrete mix will be used for the
  following investigations:
- 441
  1. Economic and environmental impact assessment of this new concrete made with DMS
  442
  442
  442
  443
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
- 443 2. Investigating the rheological properties of concrete made with dredged marine sediment444 using mortar specimens.
- 445
  3. Identifying the effects of replacing sand with 30% of marine sediment on the concrete
  446
  446
  446
  446
- 4474. Pilot implementation of a marine concrete structure through partial replacement of sand448448 by dredged marine sediments, in collaboration with the concrete industry.
- 449 Author Contributions: Writing—review and editing, M.H., T.S., M.S., J.-C.S., and E.G.-D.,
  450 All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.
- 451 Funding: This work was supported by the prefecture of the Occitanie Region (France) and452 European Union.
- 453 **Data Availability Statement:** Not applicable.

454 Acknowledgments: The authors thank the financial support of the Occitanie Region (France), 455 the Prefecture of the Occitanie Region and European Union. The authors also thank IMT Mines 456 Ales and University of Montpellier for their participation and support. The authors wish to 457 acknowledge the help provided by Florian Stratta, Florent Lavorel, Thomas Wattez, Victor 458 Beauvie and the internship students of the civil engineering department of Mines-Alès. The 459 authors thank Ad-OCC, staffs of the ports of Camargue and Pérols particularly Yan Roux and 460 Willy Paramé. The authors are grateful to Eurovia, DTE, LRM, HSM, Microbia Environnement 461 and LIB Industry for their collaborative support.

#### 462 **References**

- 463 [1] C.R. Gagg, Cement and concrete as an engineering material: An historic appraisal and
  464 case study analysis, Eng. Fail. Anal. 40 (2014) 114–140.
  465 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.engfailanal.2014.02.004.
- J. Kaufmann, Evaluation of the combination of desert sand and calcium sulfoaluminate
  cement for the production of concrete, Constr. Build. Mater. 243 (2020) 118281.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CONBUILDMAT.2020.118281.
- 469 [3] F.J. Luo, L. He, Z. Pan, W.H. Duan, X.L. Zhao, F. Collins, Effect of very fine particles
  470 on workability and strength of concrete made with dune sand, Constr. Build. Mater. 47
  471 (2013) 131–137. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CONBUILDMAT.2013.05.005.
- 472 [4] A. Alhozaimy, A. Al-Negheimish, O.A. Alawad, M.S. Jaafar, J. Noorzaei, Binary and 473 ternary effects of ground dune sand and blast furnace slag on the compressive strength 474 34 (2012)734–738. of mortar. Cem. Concr. Compos. 475 https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CEMCONCOMP.2012.03.002.
- 476 [5] M. Zdiri, N.E. Abriak, M. Ben Ouezdou, J. Neji, The use of fluvial and marine sediments
  477 in the formulation of Roller Compacted Concrete for use in pavements, Environ.
  478 Technol. 30 (2009) 809–815. https://doi.org/10.1080/09593330902990097.
- 479 [6] E. Rozière, M. Samara, A. Loukili, D. Damidot, Valorisation of sediments in self480 consolidating concrete: Mix-design and microstructure, Constr. Build. Mater. 81 (2015)
  481 1–10. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2015.01.080.
- 482 [7] M. Amar, M. Benzerzour, A. el M. Safhi, N.E. Abriak, Durability of a cementitious
  483 matrix based on treated sediments, Case Stud. Constr. Mater. 8 (2018) 258–276.
  484 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cscm.2018.01.007.

- 485 [8] A. el M. Safhi, M. Benzerzour, P. Rivard, N.E. Abriak, Feasibility of using marine
  486 sediments in SCC pastes as supplementary cementitious materials, Powder Technol. 344
  487 (2019) 730–740. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.powtec.2018.12.060.
- 488 [9] R. Achour, R. Zentar, N.E. Abriak, P. Rivard, P. Gregoire, Durability study of concrete
  489 incorporating dredged sediments, Case Stud. Constr. Mater. 11 (2019) e00244.
  490 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cscm.2019.e00244.
- 491 [10] F. Agostini, F. Skoczylas, Z. Lafhaj, About a possible valorisation in cementitious
  492 materials of polluted sediments after treatment, Cem. Concr. Compos. 29 (2007) 270–
  493 278. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconcomp.2006.11.012.
- 494 [11] A. el M. Safhi, P. Rivard, A. Yahia, K. Henri Khayat, N.E. Abriak, Durability and
  495 transport properties of SCC incorporating dredged sediments, Constr. Build. Mater. 288
  496 (2021) 123116. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2021.123116.
- 497 [12] N.P. Ouédraogo, F. Becquart, M. Benzerzour, N.-E. Abriak, Environmental assessment,
  498 mechanical behavior, and chemical properties of self-compacting mortars (SCMs) with
  499 harbor dredged sediments to be used in construction, Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. 28 (2021)
  500 55003–55013. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-020-12279-6.
- 501 [13] H. Mehdizadeh, M.Z. Guo, T.C. Ling, Ultra-fine sediment of Changjiang estuary as
  502 binder replacement in self-compacting mortar: Rheological, hydration and hardened
  503 properties, J. Build. Eng. 44 (2021) 103251. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jobe.2021.103251.
- A. el M. Safhi, M. Benzerzour, P. Rivard, N.E. Abriak, I. Ennahal, Development of selfcompacting mortars based on treated marine sediments, J. Build. Eng. 22 (2019) 252–
  261. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jobe.2018.12.024.
- 507 [15] L. Zeghichi, Z. Benghazi, L. Baali, The Effect of the Kind of Sands and Additions on
  508 the Mechanical Behaviour of S.C.C, Phys. Procedia. 55 (2014) 485–492.
  509 https://doi.org/10.1016/J.PHPRO.2014.07.070.
- 510[16]P. Peduzzi, Sand, Rarer than One Thinks: UNEP Global Environmental Alert Service511(GEAS)-March2014,UNEPRep.(2014).512https://wedocs.unep.org/handle/20.500.11822/8665 (accessed July 7, 2022).
- 513 [17] E. Lee, J. Ko, J. Yoo, S. Park, J. Nam, Analysis of the Aggregate Effect on the 514 Compressive Strength of Concrete Using Dune Sand, Appl. Sci. 2021, Vol. 11, Page

515 1952. 11 (2021) 1952. https://doi.org/10.3390/APP11041952.

- 516 [18] A.S. Al-Harthy, M.A. Halim, R. Taha, K.S. Al-Jabri, The properties of concrete made 517 with fine dune sand. Constr. Build. Mater. 21 (2007)1803-1808. 518 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2006.05.053.
- 519 [19] M. Bédérina, M.M. Khenfer, R.M. Dheilly, M. Quéneudec, Reuse of local sand: effect 520 of limestone filler proportion on the rheological and mechanical properties of different 521 Cem. Res. 35 (2005)sand concretes, Concr. 1172–1179. 522 https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CEMCONRES.2004.07.006.
- 523 [20] F. Kazi Aoual-Benslafa, D. Kerdal, B. Mekerta, A. Semcha, The Use of Dredged
  524 Sediments as Sand in the Mortars for Tunnel Lining and for Environmental Protection,
  525 Arab. J. Sci. Eng. 39 (2014) 2483–2493. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13369-013-0805-9.
- 526 [21] H. Elmoueden, M. Amar, A. Zambon, M. Benzerzour, N.-E. Abriak, The Use of Dredged
  527 Marine Sediment in the Formulation of Air–Foam Concrete, Waste and Biomass
  528 Valorization. 13 (2022) 2591–2607. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12649-021-01650-4.
- 529 [22] S. Moradi, S. Shahnoori, Eco-friendly mix for Roller-Compacted Concrete: Effects of
  530 Persian-Gulf-Dredged marine sand on durability and resistance parameters of concrete,
  531 Constr. Build. Mater. 281 (2021). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2021.122555.
- L. BEN Allal, M. Ammarri, I. Frar, A. Azmani, N.E. Belmokhtar, Caractérisation et
  valorisation des sédiments de dragage des ports de Tanger et Larache (Maroc), Rev.
  Paralia. 4 (2011) 5.1-5.13. https://doi.org/10.5150/REVUE-PARALIA.2011.005.
- Q. Wang, J. shan Li, Q. Xue, C.S. Poon, Immobilization and recycling of contaminated
  marine sediments in cement-based materials incorporating iron-biochar composites, J.
  Hazard. Mater. 435 (2022) 128971. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2022.128971.
- 538 [25] M. Amar, M. Benzerzour, J. Kleib, N.E. Abriak, From dredged sediment to
  539 supplementary cementitious material: characterization, treatment, and reuse, Int. J.
  540 Sediment Res. 36 (2021) 92–109. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijsrc.2020.06.002.
- 541[26]Concrete Specification , performance , production and conformity, BSI Stand. (2016)54230.https://cobaz.afnor.org/notice/norme/nf-en-206-
- 543 cn/FA185553?rechercheID=8821543&searchIndex=6&activeTab=all#id\_lang\_2\_Title
  544 s (accessed August 26, 2022).

- 545 [27] C. Hamza, S. Bouchra, B. Mostapha, B. Mohamed, Formulation of Ordinary Concrete
  546 using the Dreux-Gorisse Method, Procedia Struct. Integr. 28 (2020) 430–439.
  547 https://doi.org/10.1016/J.PROSTR.2020.10.050.
- 548 [28] Grau-du-Roi : APP dragage du chenal sud de Port Camargue / Déclaration / Loi sur l'eau
  549 / Environnement / Publications / Accueil Les services de l'État dans le Gard, (n.d.).
  550 https://www.gard.gouv.fr/Publications/Environnement/Loi-sur-l-eau/Declaration/Grau551 du-Roi-APP-dragage-du-chenal-sud-de-Port-Camargue (accessed December 14, 2022).
- 552 [29] G. Li, A. Zhang, Z. Song, S. Liu, J. Zhang, Ground granulated blast furnace slag effect 553 on the durability of ternary cementitious system exposed to combined attack of chloride 554 and sulfate. Constr. Build. 158 (2018)640-648. Mater. 555 https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CONBUILDMAT.2017.10.062.
- [30] H.W. Song, V. Saraswathy, Studies on the corrosion resistance of reinforced steel in
  concrete with ground granulated blast-furnace slag—An overview, J. Hazard. Mater. 138
  (2006) 226–233. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JHAZMAT.2006.07.022.
- [31] N. Hosseinzadeh, L. Montanari, P. Suraneni, Durability of concretes exposed to high
  concentrations of CaCl2 and MgCl2, Mater. Struct. 2022 556. 55 (2022) 1–18.
  https://doi.org/10.1617/S11527-022-01992-Y.
- 562 [32] EN 12350-2, Testing fresh concrete Part 2: Slump test, (2019) 10. 563 https://cobaz.afnor.org/notice/norme/nf-en-12350-
- 564 2/FA190558?rechercheID=8661844&searchIndex=1&activeTab=all (accessed August
  565 17, 2022).
- 566 [33] EN 12390-3, Testing hardened concrete Part 3: Compressive strength of test specimens,
  567 BSI Stand. Publ. 38 (2002). https://cobaz.afnor.org/notice/norme/nf-en-12390568 3/FA190566?rechercheID=8661892&searchIndex=1&activeTab=all (accessed August
  569 17, 2022).
- 570 [34] NFP18-459, Concrete Testing hardened concrete Testing porosity and density Béton,
  571 in: 2010. https://cobaz.afnor.org/notice/norme/nf-p18572 459/FA203045?rechercheID=8820380&searchIndex=1&activeTab=all#id\_lang\_2\_Titl
  573 es (accessed August 26, 2022).
- 574 [35] EN 13369, Common rules for precast concrete products, 2018.
  575 https://cobaz.afnor.org/notice/norme/nf-en-

- 576 13369/FA188565?rechercheID=8661977&searchIndex=1&activeTab=all#id\_lang\_2\_T
  577 itles (accessed August 17, 2022).
- 578 [36] E.S.S.A. Seif, Assessing the engineering properties of concrete made with fine dune 579 Geosci. 857-863. sands: An experimental study, Arab. J. 6 (2013)580 https://doi.org/10.1007/s12517-011-0376-6.
- 581 [37] J. Limeira, L. Agullo, M. Etxeberria, Dredged marine sand in concrete: An experimental
  582 section of a harbor pavement, Constr. Build. Mater. 24 (2010) 863–870.
  583 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2009.12.011.
- [38] Q. Ren, Y. Tao, D. Jiao, G. De Schutter, Z. Jiang, Rheological properties of concrete
  with manufactured sand: A multi-level prediction, Cem. Concr. Compos. 133 (2022)
  104647. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CEMCONCOMP.2022.104647.
- 587 [39] FHWA, Federal Highway Administration, Portland-Cement Concrete Rheology and
  588 Workability: Final Report Publication No.RD-00-025, (2001).
- 589 [40] D. Benkeser, K. Hernandez, F. Lolli, K. Kurtis, Influence of calcined clay morphology
  590 on flow in blended cementitious systems, Cem. Concr. Res. 160 (2022) 106927.
  591 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconres.2022.106927.
- M. Zhang, X. Zhu, J. Shi, B. Liu, Z. He, C. Liang, Utilization of desert sand in the
  production of sustainable cement-based materials: A critical review, Constr. Build.
  Mater. 327 (2022) 127014. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CONBUILDMAT.2022.127014.
- 595 [42] A. Wang, C. Zhang, W. Sun, Fly ash effects: I. The morphological effect of fly ash, Cem.
  596 Concr. Res. 33 (2003) 2023–2029. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0008-8846(03)00217-5.
- 597 [43] S. Diamond, Aspects of concrete porosity revisited, Cem. Concr. Res. 29 (1999) 1181–
  598 1188. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0008-8846(99)00122-2.
- 599 [44] R. Kumar, B. Bhattacharjee, Porosity, pore size distribution and in situ strength of
  600 concrete, Cem. Concr. Res. 33 (2003) 155–164. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0008601 8846(02)00942-0.
- 602 [45] B. Touil, F. Ghomari, A. Khelidj, S. Bonnet, O. Amiri, Durability assessment of the
  603 oldest concrete structure in the Mediterranean coastline: The Ghazaouet harbour, Mar.
  604 Struct. 81 (2022) 103121. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marstruc.2021.103121.

- [46] Z. Zhao, M. Benzerzour, N.E. Abriak, D. Damidot, L. Courard, D. Wang, Use of
  uncontaminated marine sediments in mortar and concrete by partial substitution of
  cement, Cem. Concr. Compos. 93 (2018) 155–162.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconcomp.2018.07.010.
- 609 [47] A. Ghani, Z. Ali, F.A. Khan, S.R. Shah, S.W. Khan, M. Rashid, Experimental study on
  610 the behavior of waste marble powder as partial replacement of sand in concrete, SN Appl.
  611 Sci. 2 (2020) 1–13. https://doi.org/10.1007/S42452-020-03349-Y/FIGURES/15.
- 612 [48] H. Beddaa, I. Ouazi, A. Ben Fraj, F. Lavergne, J.M. Torrenti, Reuse potential of dredged
  613 river sediments in concrete: Effect of sediment variability, J. Clean. Prod. 265 (2020)
  614 121665. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JCLEPRO.2020.121665.
- 615 [49] B. Subashini, G. Sivaranjani, G. Dhanalakshmi, K. Gayathri, A.A. Kumar, A. Srimathi,
  616 C. Revathi, Experimental Investigation of Sea Sand for Construction Purposes, Indian J.
  617 Sci. Technol. 9 (2016) 1–5. https://doi.org/10.17485/ijst/2016/v9i11/89400.
- 618 [50] N.P. Ratnayake, U.G.A. Puswewala, S.P. Chaminda, E.M.T.M. Ekanayaka, A.M.N. 619 Jayawardene, EVALUATION OF THE POTENTIAL OF SEA SAND AS AN 620 ALTERNATIVE TO RIVER SAND FOR CONCRETE PRODUCTION IN SRI 621 LANKA, J. Geol. Soc. Sri 16 (2014)Lanka. 109–117. 622 https://www.researchgate.net/publication/274007763 (accessed August 23, 2022).
- [51] Holcim Group, Excess Water In Concrete | Holcim Australia Holcim Australia Pty Ltd,
  (n.d.). https://www.holcim.com.au/products-and-services/tools-faqs-and-resources/doit-yourself-diy/excess-water-in-concrete (accessed August 25, 2022).
- 626 [52] O. Burgos-Montes, M. Palacios, P. Rivilla, F. Puertas, Compatibility between
  627 superplasticizer admixtures and cements with mineral additions, Constr. Build. Mater.
  628 31 (2012) 300–309. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CONBUILDMAT.2011.12.092.
- [53] N. Mikanovic, C. Jolicoeur, Influence of superplasticizers on the rheology and stability
  of limestone and cement pastes, Cem. Concr. Res. 38 (2008) 907–919.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconres.2008.01.015.
- 632 [54] H. Vikan, H. Justnes, F. Winnefeld, R. Figi, Correlating cement characteristics with
  633 rheology of paste, Cem. Concr. Res. 37 (2007) 1502–1511.
  634 https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CEMCONRES.2007.08.011.

- 635 [55] S. Han, P. Yan, X. Kong, Study on the compatibility of cement-superplasticizer system
  636 based on the amount of free solution, Sci. China Technol. Sci. 2011 541. 54 (2010) 183–
  637 189. https://doi.org/10.1007/S11431-010-4174-2.
- 638 [56] D. Sathyan, K.B. Anand, Influence of superplasticizer family on the durability
  639 characteristics of fly ash incorporated cement concrete, Constr. Build. Mater. 204 (2019)
  640 864–874. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CONBUILDMAT.2019.01.171.
- 641 [57] M.U. Nisa, Study to Find Out Shape Effect of Coarse Aggregate on Workability and
  642 Compressive Strength of Concrete, Int. J. Res. Appl. Sci. Eng. Technol. 9 (2021) 136–
  643 141. https://doi.org/10.22214/ijraset.2021.32731.
- 644 [58] AFGC, Maîtrise de la durabilité vis-à-vis de la corrosion des armatures et de l'alcali645 réaction Etat de l'art et guide pour la mise en œuvre d'une approche performantielle et
  646 prédictive sur la base d'indicateurs de durabilité., 2004.
- 647 [59] T. Soleimani, M. Hayek, G. Junqua, M. Salgues, J.-C. Souche, Environmental, economic 648 and experimental assessment of the valorization of dredged sediment through sand 649 Environ. 858 (2023)159980. substitution in concrete, Sci. Total 650 https://doi.org/10.1016/J.SCITOTENV.2022.159980.