

# Optimization of the thermal performance of compressed earth block wall for affordable housing

Mohammadullah Hakim Ebrahimi, Philippe Devillers, E. Garcia-Diaz

# ▶ To cite this version:

Mohammadullah Hakim Ebrahimi, Philippe Devillers, E. Garcia-Diaz. Optimization of the thermal performance of compressed earth block wall for affordable housing. NOMAD 2022 - 4e conférence internationale francophone Nouveaux Matériaux et Durabilité, IMT Mines Alès; LMGC; LIFAM, Nov 2022, Montpellier, France. hal-03879787

# HAL Id: hal-03879787 https://imt-mines-ales.hal.science/hal-03879787v1

Submitted on 30 Nov 2022

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# Optimization of the thermal performance of compressed earth block wall for affordable housing

#### Mohammadullah Hakim Ebrahimi<sup>1</sup>, Philippe Devillers<sup>2</sup>, Éric Garcia-Diaz<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Construction Faculty, Kabul Polytechnic University-Afghanistan <sup>2</sup>LIFAM, École Nationale Supérieure d'Architecture de Montpellier, France <sup>3</sup>Centre des Matériaux des Mines d'Alès, IMT Mines d'Alès, Alès, France

#### Abstract

Lack of appropriate social housing is a great challenge in most developing countries. Afghanistan is one of them, where in the capital, Kabul, population growth very rapidly, resulted in a high demand for affordable social housing, and construction of illegal houses and private modern townships. This has dramatically changed the form of the housing and view of the city. One of the solutions to overcome this high housing demand is to use the local material that has been used traditionally for years in Kabul. It is environmentally friendly and easily available on construction site. In a previous paper, three soils of the Kabul region were studied and the best earthen element was selected. In this paper an architectural model from the selected element that should be responsive for Kabul climate, will be developed and analysed. According to Köppen climate classification, Kabul has cold desert climate, with dry cold winter and dry warm summer. This study, optimize design strategy for social housing program in Kabul city. Compressive earth block (CEB) walls in various thickness (100-1000) mm designed and thermally analysed to find the optimum wall thickness. Soil-straw plaster applied to protect the CEB wall from rain. Auto desk (Revit and Archiwizard) and WUFI pro softwares are used to optimize wall thickness and validate energy demand and thermal performance of the model. This research paper will enable the architects and builders to practically design earth buildings and optimize earthen wall's thickness.

Key words: earth construction, optimization, CEB, design strategies

#### 1. Introduction

The development of modern methodology for building with earth regained the interest of researchers and developers. It is due to their availability, recyclability and low embodied energy, rammed earth requires 15-25% less energy compares to fired brick [12]. Manufacturing and transportation accounts for 35% of the embodied energy of the materials [15] which is not associated with earthen elements. Soil has less embodied energy, economic, environmentally friendly and without any transportation cost [6]. Soil has great volume heat capacity and the ability to damp and delay thermal conduction and external temperature flow to the interior [8], leading to a time lag. The process in which the exterior walls react against period of delay before outside temperature reach the interior of a room is known as time lag. This high thermal capacity and density of the soil lead to: 1) absorption of humidity in a room when it is surplus and release when there is lack of it [11] and 2) absorption of heat during the day and release it when the weather is cold at nights [15].

Though, soil has average thermal property but not all the important characteristics that soil is claimed for. Earthen elements do not simply have better thermal performance than conventional concrete, and cannot guarantee thermal comfort and energy saving unless the external walls are thick enough and well-insulated [15]. Soil is a heavy material and elements made from it have high thermal conductivity (0.33-1 W.m<sup>-1</sup>.K<sup>-1</sup>), [1, 2, 3, 9, 14]. Additives such as straw, hemp and fibre can decrease this value, Steve et al. found 0,18 W.m<sup>-1</sup>.K<sup>-1</sup>by adding 25% hemp in COB walls. They also found that, the thermal conductivity of stabilized rammed earth increase with an increase in dry-density [7]. In cold region, a temperature test on adobe dwelling by Soebarto shows that, uninsulated houses cannot guarantee the desired comfort and based on his survey the ambient air temperature in the surveyed houses were between 8-12.5 °C [13]. There are researchers who has improved the thermal performance of rammed earth walls by passive design strategy such as glazing, shading and ventilation that has a positive effect on building energy consumption, however the weak thermal insulation of rammed earth (RE) is still an issue, [15, 16]. Without insulation, it is difficult to achieve U-value in earthen walls that compromises with the existing codes and regulations.

To sum up this review, the heat storage capacity of earthen elements is well studied, however the disadvantages in term of insulation is denied. Moreover, from the studied papers it was found that there is few papers that reviewed the relation between thermal mass and thermal property of RE. A very recent study on optimization of the thermal performance of composite RE walls construction proposes a comprehensive evaluation index method. They proposed design strategy for Beijing cold climate in China, and developed a quantitative design strategy for the wall thickness suitable for Beijing city [15, 16]. This research will use the same method to optimize structural layer of compressed earth block (CEB) and develop quantitative design strategy for wall thicknesses of various layer suitable for Kabul area. The authors hope that this research will provide an applicable design strategy for both CEB and RE construction in cold climate zones.

#### 2. Research methodology

To balance the thermal performance and energy efficiency of CEB wall and establish a comprehensive evaluation index of the thermal mass and insulation: first, soil texture, density, specific heat capacity, thermal conductivity and thermal storage coefficient are evaluated. Then four relevant metrics: heat transfer coefficient, thermal inertia index, temperature wave attenuation and phase detection time were chosen. With the help of Archiwizard and WUFI pro softwares, the connections between those relevant were analysed and a comprehensive multi-index evaluation method was established. Finally, appropriate thicknesses for new CEB wall were derived.

For this study, Kabul was selected as a typical cold city in the cold region of Afghanistan. Kabul has a semi-arid climate with hot summer and cold winter (Fig. 1).



FIGURE 1. 30-year average temperature and precipitation for Kabul city, [10]

# 3. Material and CEB properties

Raw soil taken from Kabul city with a dry apparent density (1594 kg/m<sup>3</sup>) was wet sieved and analysed according to ASTM [4], and its particle size was determined (Table. 1). The result shows, it is a silty clayed soil.

TABLE 1. Raw soil particle size distribution						
Soil particle size	clay	Silt	Sand			
Distribution (%)	27,6	70,4	2			

Compressed Earth Blocks measuring 290mmx145mmx100mm using a manual hand-operated constant volume block machine produced. All necessary physical properties of the block were determined and ISOMET Model 2104, heat transfer analyser was used to evaluate the thermal performance of the block (Table. 2).

TABLE 2. Physical parameters of the block								
Name	Dry density	Specific heat	Thermal	Thermal storage				
	ρ (kg/m³)	capacity	conductivity	conductivity				
		c (kJ.kg <sup>-1</sup> .K <sup>-1</sup> )	λ (W.m <sup>-1</sup> .K <sup>-1</sup> )	S (W.m <sup>-2</sup> .K <sup>-1</sup> )				
CEB	1860	0,844	0,728	9,116				

# 4. Building prototype

To optimize the CEB wall thickness, an energy consumption model was established and the impact of different wall thickness on building energy demand were analysed. The energy demand analysed only for heating of the house during cold period. The west wall of the model was considered as a shared wall with the neighbour and has zero energy lost. It is a single story one bedroom, one living room with kitchen and bathroom (Fig. 2 and Fig 3). The specification of the base case model summarized in Table. 3.





TABLE 3. Specification of the base case model

Prototype residential nouse model								
Window to wall r	atio (%) South: 2	27,0 North: 2,8	East: 0,0	West: 0,0				
Wall U-value (W/(m	<sup>2</sup> .K))	Varies wi	th wall thickness	5				
Roof U-value (W/(m	<sup>2</sup> .K))		0.728					
Floor U-value (W/(m	1².K))		0.506					
Window U-value (W/(	m².K)))		1.598					
Heating set point (	°C)		19					
Ventilation		Air	ventilation					

### 5. Sub-factors



FIGURE 4. Relevance of the material's thermal parameters [15]

For evaluating thermal performance of CEB wall, two factors are commonly used: thermal conductivity and heat storage capacity. Temperature, indoor and outdoor, and the constant state of wall heat transfer have great effect on the thermal conductivity, the larger the thermal conductivity of the wall the more heat loss will occur. However, thermal storage capacity is the heat transfer caused by the outdoor environment. Fortunately, CEB has good thermal storage capacity and the ability to absorb, store and release heat. Yu et al. has converted the two factors into more detailed parameters

(Fig. 4), and showed the relationship (weak or strong) between those parameters and their influence on RE building performance [15]. In this study, the same factors and parameters are used to analyse thermal insulation and thermal mass that affect CEB building performance, considering the physical property of the CEB and outside climate impact. Using the wall thickness as the only variable, a comprehensive evaluation index was established following those parameters: (heat transfer coefficient, thermal inertia index, attenuation degree and phase detention time) to evaluate the thermal performance of the CEB walls.

#### 6. Analysis and calculations

As a general fact, when the wall thickness increases, the thermal insulation and thermal mass increase. However, it is not logical to increase blindly wall thickness in order to improve its insulation properties because it decreases the usable space and make the building vulnerable to seismic risks because CEB is a heavy weighted soil material. In this research, Archiwizard was used to simulate energy demand of the house and WUFI Pro was used to dynamically analyse heat and moisture transfer. Then the parameters (heat transfer coefficient, thermal inertia index, attenuation degree and phase detention time) were fitted to the wall thickness to analyse the optimum effective thickness of the CEB walls.

## 6.1. Thickness and heat transfer coefficient

WUFI Pro software was used to simulate the heat transfer coefficient of various CEB walls, based on the Kabul region climatic parameter. The heat transfer coefficient and thermal resistance of the walls were calculated (Table. 4). The internal surface heat transfer resistance (Ri=0.125), and the external surface heat transfer resistance (Re=0.0588) were proposed by WUFI Pro. Therefore, the calculation is based on equation 1.

$$U=1/(R+R_i+R_e)$$
 (1)

TABLE 4. Relationship between unckness and near transfer coefficient										
d	100	200	300	400	500	600	700	800	900	1000
mm										
U (W.m <sup>-1</sup> .K <sup>-1</sup> )	2,826	1,946	1,462	1,185	0,986	0,851	0,744	0,664	0,597	0,545
1/U (m .K .W <sup>-1</sup> )	0,353	0,513	0,683	0,843	1,013	1,173	1,343	1,503	1,673	1,833



FIGURE 5. Fitting of the heat transfer coefficient

The analyse shows that with increase in thickness, the heat transfer coefficient decreases and the insulation performance of the CEB wall improves. Based on regression analyses and fitting the heat transfer coefficient to the wall thickness (Fig. 5), U= 89.4 d<sup>-0.73</sup> was obtained and the influence factor of the heat transfer coefficient in the comprehensive evaluation index is (a=0.73). The linear relationship after the dimensionless treatment of the thermal resistance is ( $\beta_1$ =0.0016), (Fig. 6).



FIGURE 6. Fitting of the thermal resistance

#### 6.2. Thickness and heat storage coefficient

Material heat storage coefficient depends on the specific heat capacity (c), density ( $\rho$ ) and thermal conductivity ( $\lambda$ ).  $2\pi$  is a constant factor and Z considered 24 hrs (86400 second) for equation (2).

$$S = \sqrt{\frac{2\pi c\rho \Lambda}{Z}} \quad (2)$$

Since the effect of wall thickness on the thermal storage performance cannot be accurately defined only with S, therefore in this research, indicators related to the thermal performance of walls were taken into account to assist the measurement. The most direct related indicator to the thermal storage coefficient is thermal inertia, with increase in wall thickness it becomes larger. Moreover, temperature wave attenuation degree and phase detention time establishes direct relationship with wall thickness. While the two indirectly related indicator are attenuation degree and delay time. Often, the greater the thermal inertia of the wall is, the better performance of the indirect indicators is.

#### 6.3. Thickness and thermal inertia index (D)

D is a dimensionless index that reflects the decline rate of fluctuating heat transfer process of the external wall. The greater the D value is, the quickest the wall surface temperature is and the better thermal stability of the wall. The thermal inertia index of CEB wall is equal to the heat storage coefficient multiply by thermal resistance (equation 3).

#### $D = RS \qquad (3)$

The thermal inertia index of CEB walls of different thickness is calculated and the fitting function is shown in Fig. 7. It was found from the correlation of the functions that the thermal inertia index of the walls is directly proportional to wall thickness, and the influence factor in the comprehensive evaluation index is  $a_2=1$  (Fig.7) and the linear relationship  $\beta_2=0.015$  (Fig.7).



FIGURE 7. Thickness and thermal inertia index

# 6.4. Thickness and attenuation degree

WUFI Pro was used to simulate the heat and moisture transfer process of the CEB walls with different thickness. The outside climate condition set by the software were taken from weather file (Kabulhour.epw, Meteotest Ag, Bern, Switzerland) and interior ambient temperature derived from outside, however the heating setpoint was manually set at 19 °C. The annual variation range of the internal and external surface temperature of the walls (100-1000 mm) thicknesses was obtained by WUFI Pro simulation (Table. 5). The ratio of the harmonic amplitudes of the internal and external surface temperature the attenuation effect of the CEB walls on the temperature wave. The value was defined as the average annual attenuation of the temperature wave ( $V_0$ ), equation (4).

$$V_0 = A_0 / A_d \tag{4}$$

Where  $A_0$  is the annual temperature amplitude of the external surface of the walls and  $A_d$  is the annual temperature amplitude of the internal surface of the wall (Table. 5).

TABLE 5. Thickness and attenuation degree										
d	100	200	300	400	500	600	700	800	900	1000
mm										
A <sub>0</sub> °c	42,7	43,3	43,7	43,9	44,1	44,2	44,3	44,3	44,4	44,5
Ad °c	24,4	19,2	16,9	15,7	15	14,5	14,1	13,8	13,6	13,4
$\mathbf{V}_0$	1,75	2,25	2,58	2,79	2,94	3,04	3,14	3,21	3,26	3,32

With increase in thickness, wall exterior surface temperature increased weakly, and the interior temperature decreased. Therefore, it confirms that it is logical to keep this variation rather than using one constant degree for the exterior surface, as it is the case for two previous studies [15, 16]. Then those values were fitted with the wall thickness and the results are shown in Fig. 8. As the wall thickness increases, the attenuation degree increases, and the indoor temperature fluctuation and environment fluctuations range inside the wall became smaller. However, the growing trend of  $A_0$  became smaller and the increase in wall thickness reaches saturation for the attenuation gain. Based on the fitting function, the influence factor for  $V_0$  is (a<sub>3</sub>=0.272) and the correlation coefficient between attenuation and wall thickness is R<sup>2</sup>=0.974.



FIGURE 8. Fitting of the average annual attenuation of the temperature wave V<sub>0</sub>

## 6.5. Thickness and time lag

The interval between the appearance of the peak outdoor temperature wave and the appearance of the peak indoor temperature of the exterior wall relates to the time lag ( $\xi$ ). The greater the time lag, the less the impact of the outdoor temperature on building interior environment. The delay time for the various thickness CEB walls is calculated (equation 5):

$$\xi = \frac{Z}{360} (40.5 \sum D + \arctan \frac{Y_{ef}}{Y_{ef} + \sqrt{2x_e}} - \arctan \frac{x_i}{x_i + \sqrt{2Y_{if}}}$$
(5)

Where Z is the time cycle, taking the value of 24 hrs; D is the thermal inertia index of CEB;  $Y_{ef}$  is the heat storage coefficient of the external surface of the wall (W.m<sup>-2</sup>.K<sup>-1</sup>);  $x_e$  is the heat transfer coefficient of the external surface of the wall (W.m<sup>-2</sup>.K<sup>-1</sup>);  $Y_{if}$  is the heat storage coefficient of the internal surface of the wall (W.m<sup>-2</sup>.K<sup>-1</sup>);  $Y_{if}$  is the heat storage coefficient of the internal surface of the wall (W.m<sup>-2</sup>.K<sup>-1</sup>);  $T_{if}$  is the heat storage coefficient of the internal surface of the wall (W.m<sup>-2</sup>.K<sup>-1</sup>). The power fit was performed (Fig. 9). The calculation period is for 24hrs, and when the delay period is greater than 24 hrs, it does not make sense to continue increasing the delay time for building indoor environment stability. The influence factor of the delay time in the comprehensive evaluation index calculated as a<sub>4</sub>=1 and linear relation (Fig.9)  $\beta_4$ =0.04.



FIGURE 9. Linear fitting of the time lag

#### 6.6. Thickness and comprehensive evaluation index

Based on the mentioned four-parameter influence factors fitted above, the comprehensive evaluation factor (M) was established (equation 6). This factor was calculated for each wall thickness (Fig. 10).

$$M = a_1 \beta_1 \frac{1}{\mu} + a_2 \beta_2 D + a_3 V_0 + a_4 \beta_4 \xi \qquad (6)$$

Where  $a_1$ ,  $a_2$ ,  $a_3$  and  $a_4$  are the influence factors of the four parameters and  $\beta_1$ ,  $\beta_2$ , and  $\beta_4$  are the linear relationship after dimensionless treatment of each parameter.

The results show, as the wall thickness increased the comprehensive evaluation index continued to increase.



FIGURE 10. The relation between thickness and M

#### 6.7. Building energy

The thermal performance of the CEB walls could be conceived by energy demand of the building. The energy demand calculated only for heating the house where in Kabul city counts for 91% of energy usage. Therefore, CEB walls thickness as the only variable was used to study building energy demand under various conditions. Effect of CEB walls thickness (100-1000) mm on the energy demand was simulated using Archiwizard GRAITEC software (Fig. 11). To find the relevance between energy saving rates and thermal performance, two parameters were introduced: the energy consumption data were processed to achieve energy saving rate for the walls ( $n_e$ ) and the comprehensive evaluation index data were processed to find the thermal performance improvement rate ( $n_M$ ), they were calculated suing equations (7) and (8). The intersection between the two factors specified the optimum CEB wall thickness (Fig. 11).

$$n_e = (E_n - E_{n+1}) / E_n$$
 (7)  
 $n_M = (M_n - M_1) / M_1$  (8)

Where  $E_n$  and  $E_{n+1}$  are the building energy consumption before and after gradient change respectively;  $M_n$  is the composite index after the performance improvement; and  $M_1$  is the initial composite index. When  $n_e > n_M$ , the thermal performance improvement gain has a positive meaning, however, when  $n_e < n_M$ , the thermal performance improvement gain decline and has a negative meaning, when  $n_e = n_M$ , shows the maximum thermal performance gain.



FIGURE 11. Energy saving rate, thermal improvement, and energy demand for CEB walls

The analyses show that, we have quite similar results as reference [15] achieved for the rammed earth walls, except for the wall thickness. The following conclusions were made:

- 1. Energy saving rate and energy consumption gradually decreased with improvement in thermal performance of the wall.
- 2. The energy saving rate and performance improvement reached a balance when the wall thickness reached 500 mm, at this moment the wall performance was the best.
- 3. Considering the lower limits of wall thickness, the optimization found, the appropriate CEB wall thickness for Kabul area is around 500 mm.
- 4. Considering this thickness, proposed wall thickness consist of 1,5 CEB (445mm) and 30mm soil-straw plaster on both side of the wall, the total wall thickness reached 505 mm.
- 5. Addition of the 30mm soil-straw plaster with lower thermal conductivity, improves heat transfer coefficient of the wall from 0,981 W.m<sup>-2</sup>.K<sup>-1</sup> to 0,859 W.m<sup>-2</sup>.K<sup>-1</sup>. This also reduced the annual energy demand of the building from 7369 kWh for 500 mm CEB wall to 6313 kWh for 505mm composite wall. In addition to that, the plaster can protect the wall from rain.

# 7. Conclusion

The purpose of this research was to optimize design strategy for affordable housing program in Kabul city. A mathematical model with CEB envelope was used to study the influence of thermal performance on the indoor environment. Four parameters: heat transfer coefficient, thermal inertia index, attenuation degree and phase detention time that affect the thermal performance of the model were numerically studied and a comprehensive evaluation index was established. The analyse suggests, the optimum CEB wall thickness for Kabul area is around 500mm and when this thickness exceeds this value the thermal performance gradually declines. To protect the CEB wall from rain a 30mm soil straw plaster was added on the exterior, similarly 30mm plaster was added for aesthetic in the interior. This has also improved the U-value of the wall and decreases energy demand of the building relatively.

#### 8. References

[1] Association Française de Normalisation (AFNOR). (2001). Thermal performance of building materials and products. NF EN 12664.

[2] Azhary, K., E., Raefat, S., Laaroussi, N., and Garoum, M. (2018). Energy performance and thermal proprieties of three types of unfired clay bricks. Energy procedia 147. 495-502.

[3] Abanto, GA., Karkri, M., Lefebvre, G., Horn, M., Solis, JS., and Gómez, MM. (2017). Thermal properties of adobe employed in Peruvian rural areas: Experimental results and numerical simulation of a traditional bio-composite material. Construction Materials, 6. https://doi:10.1016/j.cscm.2017.02.001

[4] American Society for Testing and Material. (2006). Standard Test Method for Sieve Analysis of Fine and Coarse Aggregates, ASTM C136.

[5] Ebrahimi, M. H., Devillers, P., & Garcia-Diaz, E. (2022). Sustainable Construction for Affordable Housing Program in Kabul. Journal of Contemporary Urban Affairs, 6 (1), 23-35. https://doi.org/10.25034/ijcua.2022.v6n1-3

[6] Claudiane, M., Ouellet-Plamoundon, and Habert, G. (2016). Self-compacted clay based concrete (SCCC): proof of concept. Journal of cleaner energy, 117, 160-168. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2015.12.048.

[7] Goodhew, S., Boutouil, M., Streiff, F., Le Guern, M., Jim Carfrae, J., & Fox, M. (2021). Improving the thermal performance of earthen walls to satisfy current building regulations. Energy and Buildings, vol 240, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enbuild.2021.110873</u>.

[8] Hugo, H., and Hubert, G. (2014). EARTH CONSTRUCTION. A comprehensive guide. 3rd ed. Replica Press Pvt. Ltd.

[9] Mayotte, (2018). Technical file related to the ATEx type A, N 2588. Masonry works of BTC compressed land blocks.

[10] Meteoblue weather, (2020). Meteoblue Climate Kabul. Accessed online, at: [https://www.meteoblue.com/en/weather/historyclimate/climatemodelled/kabul\_afghanistan\_1138958 , 12, 2020].

[11] Torgal, FP. and Jalali, S. (2012). Earth construction: lessons from the past for future ecoefficient construction. Construction and Building Materials, 29, 512-519.

[12] Reddy, V. and Kumar, P. (2010). Embodied Energy in Cement Stabilized Rammed Earth Walls. Energy and Building, 42, pp. 380-385. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enbuild.2009.10.005</u>

[13] Soebarto, V. (2009). Analysis of indoor performance of houses using rammed earth walls. building simulation, Glasgow, Schotland.

[14] Touré, P.M., Sambou, V., Faye, M., Thiam, A., Adj, M. and Azilinon, D. (2017). Mechanical and hygrothermal properties of compressed stabilized earth bricks (CSEB). Journal of Building Engineering, 13, 266-271. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jobe.2017.08.012</u>

[15] Yu, S., Hao, S., Mu, J., Tian, D., & Zhao, M. (2022a). Research on Optimization of the Thermal Performance of Composite Rammed Earth Construction. Energies, 15 (4). https://doi.org/10.3390/en15041519

[16] Yu, S, Hao, S., Mu, J., & Tian. D. (2022b). "Optimization of Wall Thickness Based on a Comprehensive Evaluation Index of Thermal Mass and Insulation" *Sustainability* 14, no. 3: 1143. https://doi.org/10.3390/su14031143