



**HAL**  
open science

## Discussion on “Living on the rocks: substrate mineralogy and the structure of subtidal rocky substrate communities in the Mediterranean Sea”

Marie Salgues, Mahmoud Hayek, Jean-Claude Souche, Sylvain Pioch

### ► To cite this version:

Marie Salgues, Mahmoud Hayek, Jean-Claude Souche, Sylvain Pioch. Discussion on “Living on the rocks: substrate mineralogy and the structure of subtidal rocky substrate communities in the Mediterranean Sea”. Academia Letters, 2021, pp.Article 2905. 10.20935/AL2905 . hal-03372690

**HAL Id: hal-03372690**

**<https://imt-mines-ales.hal.science/hal-03372690v1>**

Submitted on 11 Oct 2021

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

*Discussion on “Living on the rocks: substrate mineralogy and the structure of subtidal rocky substrate communities in the Mediterranean Sea”*

Marie Salgues, Centre des Matériaux de Mines d’Alès (C2MA), Université de Montpellier

Mahmoud Hayek, Centre des Matériaux de Mines d’Alès (C2MA), Université de Montpellier

Jean-Claude Souche, Centre des Matériaux de Mines d’Alès (C2MA), Université de Montpellier

Sylvain Pioch Lagam, Université Paul-Valéry

---

The present paper provides correlative evidence that the mineralogical composition of rocks may influence marine assemblages in Mediterranean shallow sublittoral rocky habitats. They point out that direct effects on sessile organisms (e.g. macroalgae) may cascade up through the entire community and affect higher trophic levels (e.g. carnivorous fishes). Indeed, in seawater, aggregates, concrete or any natural or artificial substrata quickly become fouled [1–3] Colonization surface can be divided into two main stages, micro-fouling and macro-fouling. They are respectively characterized by the formation of bacterial biofilm on the surface and the adhesion of macro-organisms such as algae, barnacles and larvae (macro-fouling) [2,4,5]. The nature of the substrate strongly acts on material’s bioreceptivity according to the literature [6,7].

Miller and Barimo (2001) found differences in coral recruitment between the cementitious matrix and the aggregates (limestone): coral settlement were higher on the embedded limerock while the cementitious matrix was more covered [8]. Scott *et al.* (1988) highlight differences in marine fauna between limestone and a concrete aggregate [9]. This result is confirmed by the study of Coombes *et al.* (2013) which highlight different colonization be-

tween limestone, granite and concrete in intertidal zones [10]. Chase *et al.* (2016) observed that several marine species demonstrated a preference for the cement matrix rather than granite aggregates [11]. Bavestrello *et al.* (2000) find that some sessile fauna (such as hydroids) have a higher affinity for carbonatic substrates than quartzitic ones [12]. This difference could explain the results of Chase *et al.* (2016). However, the inhibiting role of quartz is probably due to both oxidant properties of the quartz crystal surface, generating silicon-based radicals, and formation of OH- radicals in the surrounding aqueous environment [12]. Consequently, a comparative study of bioreceptivity between different building materials such as marbles, limestones, ignimbrites, and bricks in a Mediterranean marine environment was carried out by Aloise *et al.* (2014). Marble and limestone samples, submerged since the 4th century AD, showed intense colonization mainly by boring sponges. Ignimbrites, in the same location, presented a lower biological attack caused by serpulids and bryozoans. In bricks, paste with volcanic aggregates was less bioreceptive, showing a greater resistance to biological colonization, than with quartz [13].

However most of the algae biota, for instance, are not influenced by the mineralogical nature of the rock and, once developed, operate a “biological conditioning” of the substrate, which has been considered as the most important factor structuring faunal communities [12]. Chen *et al.* (2015) reported a negative impact of carbonate precipitation and stated that the leaching rates of concrete should be kept as small as possible because carbonates can cover the surface of concrete, which delays the growth of marine organism [14]. At contrary, Hayek *et al.* (2020) show that carbonated concrete is more bioreceptive than non-carbonated concrete in the first days of immersion [15,16], which is consistent with the study of Hsiung *et al.* (2020) [17]. The nature of the aggregates, integrated into the concrete, does not seem to have a significant influence on the concrete bioreceptivity [18]. However, if they are on the surface, their nature influences the biocolonization of the material [19].

The effect of the substrate mineral composition on the bioreceptivity can be explained by the surface alkalinity of the rocks before and after immersion. In geology, a rock is said to be acidic if it contains more than 63% silica, but the pH of its surface, and surrounding fluid, equilibrate after contact [20]. Indeed, the ability of a material to be colonized by living organisms is dependent by the chemical composition of the surface [21–24] and especially the pH [25,26]. “Low pH” substrate close to the seawater pH (around 7.5) are more favorable to colonization by biofilms or by macrophytes [7,15]. Hayek *et al.* (2020) conclude that the surface pH influences the kinetics and the extent of the development of the bacterial biofilm and could have an influence on the biocolonization quality in the marine environment (biodiversity). However, after two weeks of immersion, the effect of the chemical composition smooths out, according to the study by Jakobsen *et al.* (2016) [27].

Moreover, the rocks can provide nutriment to microorganisms and the mineral composition of the substrate evolve with the biofilm development at its surface [28,29]. In addition, seawater contains micro- and macro-nutrients essential for the growth of the cells of living organisms. Macro-nutrients such as carbon (C), phosphorus (P), nitrogen (N), and silicon (Si), provide the nutritive requirements indispensable to the metabolic functions of living organisms. Micro-nutrients, such as iron (Fe), Zinc (Zn), copper (Cu) or manganese (Mn), while consumed in much lower quantities, act as enzyme cofactors for metabolic reactions [30]. However, some rocks contain nutrients essential to microbiota development [31]. Petrographic analysis should be done before and after colonization by microorganisms.

The physico-chemical properties of the surface also strongly impact the biocolonization of the material [32,33]. These properties include roughness [25,34], porosity [25,35,36] and hydrophobicity [22,37–39]. Several researchers have shown in natural environments and in the laboratory that a more complex and varied surface (in terms of porosity and roughness) is more favorable to the adhesion of micro- and macro-organisms (favorable habitat). This effect can be explained by the availability of nutrients in the interstices and by the presence of shelters for organisms of different size [5,40–43].

Indeed, hydrophilic microorganisms attach more easily to hydrophilic surfaces while hydrophobic microorganisms prefer hydrophobic surfaces [44]. Note that the hydrophobicity and the charge of the surface depend on the chemical composition of the materials. According to several studies, this composition influences the type and diversity of colonizing organisms [38,39]. Despite the importance of this parameter on marine biodiversity as well as on the biocolonization of submerged support, studies which link the surface hydrophobicity of cementitious materials and biocolonization in the marine environment seem to be non-existent to date. Hayek *et al.* (2020) demonstrated in the laboratory that the biocolonization of the surface increases the hydrophobicity and could thus improve the durability of the material by decreasing the absorption of seawater containing aggressive agents [16]. Once the biofilm is attached to the surface, it modifies the physicochemical properties such as the pH and hydrophobicity of the surface which can then facilitate the adhesion of other organisms such as fungi, microalgae, macroalgae and invertebrates. thus forming a biofouling [45,46].

By the end, with this commentaries letter from Guidetti *et al.* (2004), we would like to stress that recent research showing that marine assemblages in rocky habitats are influenced not only by the mineralogical composition but also by the physicochemical properties of rocks.

## References

- [1] S. Dobretsov, R.M.M. Abed, M. Teplitski, Mini-review: Inhibition of biofouling by marine microorganisms, *Biofouling*. 29 (2013) 423–441. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08927014.2013.776042>.
- [2] S. Mieszkina, M.E. Callow, J.A. Callow, Interactions between microbial biofilms and marine fouling algae: a mini review, *Biofouling*. 29 (2013) 1097–1113. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08927014.2013.828712>.
- [3] A. Terlizzi, M. Faimali, Fouling on Artificial Substrata, in: *Biofouling*, John Wiley & Sons, Ltd, 2010: pp. 170–184. <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781444315462.ch12>.
- [4] O.S. Ogunola, O.A. Onada, Anti-Biofouling Defence Mechanism of Basibionts (A Chemical Warfare) - A Critical Review, *J. Environ. Anal. Toxicol.* 6 (2016) 1–6. <https://doi.org/10.4172/2161-0525.1000380>.
- [5] J.-C. Souche, S. Pioch, M. Salgues, K.D. Weerd, A. Agostini, M. Hayek, De la conception à l'éco-conception des ouvrages maritimes : intégrer la nature au projet d'aménagement maritime, *Rev. Paralia*. 12 (2019). <https://doi.org/10.5150/revue-paralia.2019.n01>.
- [6] M. Hayek, M. Salgues, F. Habouzit, S. Bayle, J.-C. Souche, K.D. Weerd, S. Pioch, La bioréceptivité de matériaux cimentaires dans l'eau de mer : mécanismes, facteurs agissants et conséquences, *Rev. Paralia*. 13 (2020). <https://doi.org/10.5150/revue-paralia.2020.n03>.
- [7] S. Perkol-Finkel, I. Sella, Ecologically Active Concrete for Coastal and Marine Infrastructure: Innovative Matrices and Designs, in: *Sea Shore Meet. Chall. Sea*, ICE Publishing, 2014: pp. 1139–1149. <https://doi.org/10.1680/fsts.59757.124>.
- [8] M.W. Miller, J. Barimo, Assessment of juvenile coral populations at two reef restoration sites in the Florida Keys National Marine Sanctuary: Indicators of success?, *Bull. Mar. Sci.* 69 (2001) 395–405.
- [9] P.J.B. Scott, K.A. Moser, M.J. Risk, Bioerosion of concrete and limestone by marine organisms: A 13 year experiment from Jamaica, *Mar. Pollut. Bull.* 19 (1988) 219–222.
- [10] M.A. Coombes, L.A. Naylor, H.A. Viles, R.C. Thompson, Bioprotection and disturbance: Seaweed, microclimatic stability and conditions for mechanical weathering in the intertidal zone, *Geomorphology*. 202 (2013) 4–14. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph>.

2012.09.014.

- [11] A.L. Chase, J.A. Dijkstra, L.G. Harris, The influence of substrate material on ascidian larval settlement, *Mar. Pollut. Bull.* 106 (2016) 35–42.
- [12] G. Bavestrello, C. Bianchi, B. Calcinai, R. Cattaneo-Vietti, C. Cerrano, C. Morri, S. Puce, M. Sarà, Bio-mineralogy as a structuring factor for marine epibenthic communities, *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* 193 (2000) 241–249. <https://doi.org/10.3354/meps193241>.
- [13] P. Aloise, M. Ricca, M.F. La Russa, S.A. Ruffolo, C.M. Belfiore, G. Padeletti, G.M. Crisci, Diagnostic analysis of stone materials from underwater excavations: the case study of the Roman archaeological site of Baia (Naples, Italy), *Appl. Phys. A.* 114 (2014) 655–662. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00339-013-7890-1>.
- [14] C. Chen, T. Ji, Y. Zhuang, X. Lin, Workability, mechanical properties and affinity of artificial reef concrete, *Constr. Build. Mater.* 98 (2015) 227–236. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2015.05.109>.
- [15] M. Hayek, M. Salgues, F. Habouzit, S. Bayle, J.-C. Souche, K. De Weerd, S. Pioch, In vitro and in situ tests to evaluate the bacterial colonization of cementitious materials in the marine environment, *Cem. Concr. Compos.* 113 (2020) 103748. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconcomp.2020.103748>.
- [16] M. Hayek, M. Salgues, F. Habouzit, S. Bayle, J.-C. Souche, K.D. Weerd, S. Pioch, L'influence de la carbonatation sur la biocolonisation de matériaux cimentaires dans le milieu marin, *Matér. Tech.* 108 (2020) 202. <https://doi.org/10.1051/mattech/2020020>.
- [17] A.R. Hsiung, W.T. Tan, L.H.L. Loke, L.B. Firth, E.C. Heery, J. Ducker, V. Clark, Y.S. Pek, W.R. Birch, A.C.F. Ang, R.S. Hartanto, T.M.F. Chai, P.A. Todd, Little evidence that lowering the pH of concrete supports greater biodiversity on tropical and temperate seawalls, *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* 656 (2020) 193–205. <https://doi.org/10.3354/meps13365>.
- [18] A.S. Natanzi, B.J. Thompson, P.R. Brooks, T.P. Crowe, C. McNally, Influence of concrete properties on the initial biological colonisation of marine artificial structures, *Ecol. Eng.* 159 (2021) 106104. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoleng.2020.106104>.
- [19] P. Guidetti, Fish assemblages associated with coastal defence structures in south-western Italy (Mediterranean Sea), *J. Mar. Biol. Assoc. U. K.* 84 (2004) 669–670. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0025315404009725h>.

- [20] P. Nehlig, E. Egal, Géobiodiversité: l'influence de la géologie sur la biodiversité, (n.d.) 11.
- [21] A. Dubosc, Étude du développement de salissures biologiques sur les parements en béton: mise au point d'essais accélérés de vieillissement, PhD Thesis, Toulouse, INSA, 2000.
- [22] E. Dalod, Influence de la composition chimique de mortiers sur leur biodétérioration par les algues, phdthesis, Ecole Nationale Supérieure des Mines de Saint-Etienne, 2015. <https://tel.archives-ouvertes.fr/tel-01148160/document> (accessed October 9, 2018).
- [23] E. Dalod, A. Govin, R. Guyonnet, P. Grosseau, C. Lors, D. Damidot, Influence of the chemical composition of mortars on algal biofouling, in: K.L. Fentiman C.H.; Mangabhai, R.J.; Scrivener (Ed.), Int. Conf. Calcium Aluminates, IHS BRE Press, Palais des Papes, Avignon, France, 2014: pp. 523–534. <https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-01053366> (accessed October 16, 2018).
- [24] S. Manso, W. De Muynck, I. Segura, A. Aguado, K. Steppe, N. Boon, N. De Belie, Bioreceptivity evaluation of cementitious materials designed to stimulate biological growth, *Sci. Total Environ.* 481 (2014) 232–241. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2014.02.059>.
- [25] T.H. Tran, A. Govin, R. Guyonnet, P. Grosseau, C. Lors, D. Damidot, O. Devès, B. Ruot, Influence of the intrinsic characteristics of mortars on their biofouling by pigmented organisms: Comparison between laboratory and field-scale experiments., *Int. Biodeterior. Biodegrad.* 86 (2014) 334–342. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ibiod.2013.10.005>.
- [26] S. Manso, G. Mestres, M.P. Ginebra, N. De Belie, I. Segura, A. Aguado, Development of a low pH cementitious material to enlarge bioreceptivity, *Constr. Build. Mater.* 54 (2014) 485–495.
- [27] U.H. Jakobsen, K. De Weerd, M.R. Geiker, Elemental zonation in marine concrete, *Cem. Concr. Res.* 85 (2016) 12–27. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconres.2016.02.006>.
- [28] A.A. Gorbushina, Life on the rocks, *Environ. Microbiol.* 9 (2007) 1613–1631. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1462-2920.2007.01301.x>.
- [29] U. Brehm, A. Gorbushina, D. Mottershead, The role of microorganisms and biofilms in the breakdown and dissolution of quartz and glass, in: N. Noffke (Ed.), *Geobiol. Object. Concepts Perspect.*, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2005: pp. 117–129. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-444-52019-7.50011-5>.

- [30] O.P. de Fommervault, Dynamique des nutriments en Méditerranée: des campagnes océanographiques aux flotteurs Bio-Argo, 2016.
- [31] I.D.A. Ribeiro, C.G. Volpiano, L.K. Vargas, C.E. Granada, B.B. Lisboa, L.M.P. Pasaglia, Use of Mineral Weathering Bacteria to Enhance Nutrient Availability in Crops: A Review, *Front. Plant Sci.* 11 (2020). <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2020.590774>.
- [32] S. Pande, S. Shitut, L. Freund, M. Westermann, F. Bertels, C. Colesie, I.B. Bischofs, C. Kost, Metabolic cross-feeding via intercellular nanotubes among bacteria, *Nat. Commun.* 6 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms7238>.
- [33] C. Lors, F. Feugeas, B. Tribollet, Interactions Materials - Microorganisms: Concrete and Metals more Resistant to Biodeterioration, *EDP sciences*, 2019.
- [34] J.-C. Souche, G. Le Saout, M. Salgues, S. Pioch, Effets de bétons bio-actifs sur la colonisation marine en environnement méditerranéen, *Matér. Tech.* 104 (2016) 504.
- [35] T.H. Tran, A. Govin, R. Guyonnet, P. Grosseau, C. Lors, E. Garcia-Diaz, D. Damidot, O. Devès, B. Ruot, Influence of the intrinsic characteristics of mortars on biofouling by *Klebsormidium flaccidum*, in: *Int. Biodeterior. Biodegrad.*, 2012: pp. 31–39. <https://doi.org/doi/10.1016/j.ibiod.2011.10.017>.
- [36] A. Govin, T.H. Tran, R. Guyonnet, P. Grosseau, C. Lors, D. Damidot, O. Devès, B. Ruot, Ability in biofouling by *Klebsormidium flaccidum* of mortars: Influence of the intrinsic characteristics, *First Int. Conf. Concr. Sustain. ICCS.* (2013) S3-3–6 pp. 914–919.
- [37] O. Guillitte, Bioreceptivity: a new concept for building ecology studies, *Sci. Total Environ.* 167 (1995) 215–220. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0048-9697\(95\)04582-L](https://doi.org/10.1016/0048-9697(95)04582-L).
- [38] D.J. Giannantonio, J.C. Kurth, K.E. Kurtis, P.A. Sobecky, Effects of concrete properties and nutrients on fungal colonization and fouling, *Int. Biodeterior. Biodegrad.* 63 (2009) 252–259. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ibiod.2008.10.002>.
- [39] A.Z. Miller, P. Sanmartín, L. Pereira-Pardo, A. Dionísio, C. Saiz-Jimenez, M.F. Macedo, B. Prieto, Bioreceptivity of building stones: A review, *Sci. Total Environ.* 426 (2012) 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2012.03.026>.
- [40] L.B. Firth, R.C. Thompson, K. Bohn, M. Abbiati, L. Airoidi, T.J. Bouma, F. Bozzeda, V.U. Ceccherelli, M.A. Colangelo, A. Evans, F. Ferrario, M.E. Hanley, H. Hinz, S.P.G. Hoggart, J.E. Jackson, P. Moore, E.H. Morgan, S. Perkol-Finkel, M.W. Skov, E.M. Strain,



- J. van Belzen, S.J. Hawkins, Between a rock and a hard place: Environmental and engineering considerations when designing coastal defence structures, *Coast. Eng.* 87 (2014) 122–135. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coastaleng.2013.10.015>.
- [41] V. Morin, F. De Larrard, I. Dubois-Brugger, M. Horgnies, S. Duchand, S. Vacher, F. Martarèche, A. Musnier, M. Lapinski, CONCRETE WITH IMPROVED BIO-RECEPTIVITY, (2018).
- [42] S. Pioch, G. Relini, J.C. Souche, M.J.F. Stive, D. De Monbrison, S. Nassif, F. Simard, D. Allemand, P. Saussol, R. Spieler, K. Kilfoyle, Enhancing eco-engineering of coastal infrastructure with eco-design: Moving from mitigation to integration, *Ecol. Eng.* 120 (2018) 574–584. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoleng.2018.05.034>.
- [43] S. Pioch, H. Levrel, J. Hay, N. Frascaria-Lacoste, G. Martin, Restaurer la nature pour atténuer les impacts du développement: analyse des mesures compensatoires pour la biodiversité, Quae, 2015.
- [44] X. Shi, X. Zhu, Biofilm formation and food safety in food industries, *Trends Food Sci. Technol.* 20 (2009) 407–413. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tifs.2009.01.054>.
- [45] S. Dobretsov, H.-U. Dahms, P.-Y. Qian, Inhibition of biofouling by marine microorganisms and their metabolites, *Biofouling*. 22 (2006) 43–54.
- [46] S. Dobretsov, D. Rittschof, Love at First Taste: Induction of Larval Settlement by Marine Microbes, *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 21 (2020) 731. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms21030731>.